Using Observations to Estimate Climate Model Parameters

Jeffrey Anderson NCAR Data Assimilation Research Section (DAReS)

MSRI Symposium on Climate Change

Global Climate Models are Global Weather Prediction Models

- 1. Some models are used for both purposes.
- 2. Others have been developed independently.
- 3. Should a good climate model be a good weather prediction model?
- 4. And vice versa?

NWP models are great at predicting mid-tropospheric heights.

California Nevada RFC - MAE

Feb2007 F012 06H GRD(32km) (OBS & FOR)

California Nevada RFC - CVBIAS

Feb2007 F012 06H GRD(32km) (OBS & FOR)

Biases are corrected for by statistics and forecasters (and users).

Challenges:

- 1. Correct bias in a perturbed (unknown) climate.
- 2. Correct for small spatial scales.
- 3. Correct for precipitation.
- 4. Correct for frozen precipitation.

Climate Model Bias Challenges

The smaller the scale, the nearer the surface, the more moisture is involved, the more the climate has changed, the closer to the freezing point, the harder things get.

Need to test and improve climate models' weather prediction skill.

At least there are some observations available.

Do this via (ensemble) data assimilation.

The Geophysical Data Assimilation Problem:

Given: 1. A physical system (atmosphere, ocean, climate system...)

__

2. Observations of the physical system

Often sparse and irregular in time and space. Instruments have error of which we have a (poor) estimate. Observations may be of quantities not found in model. Many observations may have very low information content.

__

3. A model of the physical system

Usually approximates time evolution. Truncated representation of 'continuous' physical system. Often quasi-regular discretization in space and/or time. Generally characterized by 'large' systematic errors. Often ergodic with some sort of 'attractor'.

1. Get an improved estimate of state of physical system.

Initial conditions for forecasts.Includes time evolution and 'balances'.High quality analyses (re-analyses).

2. Get better estimates of observing system error characteristics.

Estimate value of existing or planned observations. Design observing systems that provide increased information.

3. Improve model of physical system.

Evaluate model systematic errors.

Forward and backward sensitivity analysis (adjoint and linear tangent replacement). Select appropriate values for model parameters.

A: Prior estimate based on all previous information, C.

B: An additional observation.

A: Prior estimate based on all previous information, C.

B: An additional observation.

A: Prior estimate based on all previous information, C.

B: An additional observation.

- A: Prior estimate based on all previous information, C.
- B: An additional observation.
- $p(A|BC)$: Posterior (updated estimate) based on C and B.

A: Prior estimate based on all previous information, C.

B: An additional observation.

Consistent Color Scheme Throughout Tutorial

Green = Prior

Red = Obser vation

Blue = Posterior

This product is closed for Gaussian distributions.

This product is closed for Gaussian distributions.

Ensemble filters: <u>Prior is available as finite sample.</u>

How can we take product of sample with continuous likelihood?

Observation likelihood usually continuous (nearly always Gaussian).

Product of prior Gaussian fit and Obs. likelihood is Gaussian.

Sampling Posterior PDF:

First, 'shift' ensemble to have exact mean of posterior.

Phase 2: Single observed variable, single unobserved variable

So far, have known observation likelihood for single variable.

Now, suppose prior has an additional variable.

Will examine how ensemble methods update additional variable.

Basic method generalizes to any number of additional variables.

Assume that all we knowis prior joint distribution.

One variable is observed(SFO temperature). What should happen to unobserved variable(S. CA. Gridpoint wind)?

Assume that all we knowis prior joint distribution.

One variable is observed.

Using only increments guarantees that if observation had noimpact on observed variable, unobserved variable is unchanged (highly desirable).

Now have an updated (posterior) ensemble for the unobserved variable.

Now have an updated (posterior) ensemble for the unobserved variable.

Fitting Gaussians shows that mean and variance have changed.

Now have an updated (posterior) ensemble for the unobserved variable.

Fitting Gaussians shows that mean and variancehave changed.

Other features of theprior distribution may also have changed.

If unobserved variable is part of model state... This can work fine.

Have time-varying model-generated sample covariance.

Example: Correlation of east-west wind at point with temperature.

Can make a model parameter the unobserved quantity.

Use observations to 'tune' model parameters.

Climate models have MANY real-valued parameters.

Generally adjusted via physical intuition, trial and error, or...

Climate Model Parameter Estimation via Ensemble Data Assimilation.

Positive values over NH land expected.

Problem: large negative values over tropical land near convection.

May reduce wind bias in tropical troposphere, but for 'Wrong Reason'.

Assimilation tries to use free parameter to fix ALL model problems

Parameter 'Assimilation' Challenges for math/stats folks!

- 1. Distribution for parameters is only changed by observations:
	- a. Variance will disappear.
	- b. Initial correlation 'spatial' structure remains.
	- c. Can add some 'system noise', but how?
- 2. How should the initial covariance structure be picked?
	- a. Randomly at each gridpoint (noisy!)?
	- b. Globally (smooth)?
- 3. What about just using covariance from ^a model variable (say winds)? a. This works for adaptively adjusting assimilation system params. b. For gravity wave drag example, which model variable to use?
- 4. What if signal is weak or non-linear (time to give up and go home)?

Data Assimilation Research Testbed (DART)

Software to do everything here (and more) is in DART.

Requires F90 compiler, Matlab.

Available from www.image.ucar.edu/DAReS/DART.

Phase 4: Quick look at real atmospheric applications...

Results from CAM Assimilation: January, 2003

Model:

CAM 3.1 T85L26

U,V, T, Q and PS state variables impacted by observations. Land model (CLM 2.0) not impacted by observations. Climatological SSTs.

Assimilation / Prediction Experiments:

80 member ensemble divided into 4 equal groups. Adaptive error correction algorithm. Initialized from a climatological distribution (huge spread). Uses most observations used in reanalysis (Radiosondes, ACARS, Sat. Winds..., no surface obs. or retrievals). Assimilated every 6 hours; $+/- 1.5$ hour window for obs.

125

75

25

 -25

 -75

 -125

150W 120W 90W

60W

30W

 \mathbf{o}

 $30E$

60E

90E

180

NCEP reanalyses, 500mb GPH, Jan 02 00Z

NCEP

Difference.

120E

150E

180

After 3 days.

NCEP

DART/CAM

NCEP

60S

90S

180 150W 120W

90W

30W

60W

 \mathbf{o}

30E

60E

90E

120E 150E

180

After 7 days.

 -125

6-Hour Forecast and Analysis Observation Space Temperature RMS

6-Hour Forecast and Analysis Observation Space Wind RMS