# Nonlinear, Non-Gaussian Ensemble Filters

# Jeffrey Anderson NCAR Data Assimilation Research Section (DAReS)



# CAOS Workshop Real Time Filtering and Prediction for Turbulent Signals in Weather and Climate 5 December 2008

1. Use model to advance ensemble (3 members here) to time at which next observation becomes available.

Ensemble state estimate after using previous observation (analysis). Ensemble state at time of next observation (prior).

 $t_k$ 

k+1

2. Get prior ensemble sample of observation, y=h(x), by applying forward operator h to each ensemble member.



Theory: observations from instruments with uncorrelated errors can be done sequentially.

3. Get observed value and observational error distribution from observing system.



4. Find increment for each prior observation ensemble (this is a scalar problem for uncorrelated observation errors).



5. Use ensemble samples of y and each state variable to linearly regress observation increments onto state variable increments.



6. When all ensemble members for each state variable are updated, have a new analysis. Integrate to time of next observation...



### Note: Consistent Color Scheme Throughout

**<u>Green = Prior</u>** 

**<u>Red = Observation</u>** 

**Blue = Posterior** 

Two most common observation space update algorithms:

- 1. EAKF: Ensemble Adjustment KF (deterministic square root);
- 2. EnKF: Ensemble KF (Monte Carlo approximation).

This product is closed for Gaussian distributions.



Posterior = Likelihood \* Prior / Normalization

This product is closed for Gaussian distributions.



#### Product of two Gaussians:

Product of d-dimensional normals with means  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  and covariance matrices  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  is normal.

 $\mathbf{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) \boldsymbol{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_2, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2) = c \mathbf{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ 

#### Product of two Gaussians:

Product of d-dimensional normals with means  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  and covariance matrices  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  is normal.

N(
$$\mu_1, \Sigma_1$$
)N( $\mu_2, \Sigma_2$ ) =  $c$ N( $\mu, \Sigma$ )  
Covariance:  $\Sigma = (\Sigma_1^{-1} + \Sigma_2^{-1})^{-1}$   
Mean:  $\mu = (\Sigma_1^{-1} + \Sigma_2^{-1})^{-1} (\Sigma_1^{-1}\mu_1 + \Sigma_2^{-1}\mu_2)$ 

#### Product of two Gaussians:

Product of d-dimensional normals with means  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  and covariance matrices  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  is normal.

$$N(\mu_{I}, \Sigma_{I})N(\mu_{2}, \Sigma_{2}) = cN(\mu, \Sigma)$$
  
Covariance:  $\Sigma = (\Sigma_{I}^{-1} + \Sigma_{2}^{-1})^{-1}$   
Mean:  $\mu = (\Sigma_{I}^{-1} + \Sigma_{2}^{-1})^{-1}(\Sigma_{I}^{-1}\mu_{I} + \Sigma_{2}^{-1}\mu_{2})$   
Weight:  $c = \frac{1}{(2\Pi)^{d/2}|\Sigma_{I} + \Sigma_{2}|^{1/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}[(\mu_{2} - \mu_{I})^{T}(\Sigma_{I} + \Sigma_{2})^{-1}(\mu_{2} - \mu_{I})]\right\}$ 

# Ignore the weight for now; normalize products to be PDFs. But it is used in the new algorithm...

Anderson: CAOS Workshop: 5 December 2008

Bayes rule: 
$$p(A|BC) = \frac{p(B|AC)p(A|C)}{p(B|C)} = \frac{p(B|AC)p(A|C)}{\int p(B|x)p(x|C)dx}$$

Ensemble filters: Prior is available as finite sample.



How can we take product of sample with continuous likelihood?



Observation likelihood usually continuous (often Gaussian).



Product of prior Gaussian fit and Obs. likelihood is Gaussian.







Ensemble Adjustment Filter (a deterministic square root filter).

First, 'shift' ensemble to have exact mean of posterior.



Ensemble Adjustment Filter (a deterministic square root filter).





Ensemble Adjustment Filter (a deterministic square root filter).

For linear, gaussian, large enough ensemble, this is EXACTLY KF.



Ensemble Kalman Filter (EnKF).

Note: earliest refs have incorrect algorithm.



#### Anderson: CAOS Workshop: 5 December 2008





Associate it with the first sample of prior ensemble.



Algorithm sometimes called 'perturbed obs.' ensemble Kalman filter.



Adjusting the mean of obs. sample to be exact improves performance. Adjusting the variance may further improve performance.





#### Ensemble Kalman Filter (EnKF).

Prior sample standard deviation still measures uncertainty of prior mean estimate.



#### Ensemble Kalman Filter (EnKF).

Prior sample standard deviation still measures uncertainty of prior mean estimate.

32

Obs. likelihood standard deviation measures uncertainty of obs. estimate.







Repeat this operation for each joint prior pair.



#### Ensemble Kalman Filter (EnKF).

Repeat this operation for each joint prior pair.
## Ensemble Filter Algorithms:



### Ensemble Filter Algorithms:



#### Anderson: CAOS Workshop: 5 December 2008

## Ensemble Filter Algorithms:



Posterior sample mean and variance converge to 'exact' for large samples. Sample is mixed by some introduced noise.

#### A One-Variable Test Model

$$x_{t+1} = x_t + \Delta t (x_t + \alpha | x_t | x_t), \qquad \Delta t = 0.05$$

Assume 'true' trajectory is just x=0. (Same as linearizing around an arbitrary trajectory).

 $\alpha = 0$ : linear model (exponential growth).

 $\alpha > 0$ : have additional expansion.

Observe 'truth' with observational error variance 1.0 every step. Observations are just draws from N(0, 1.0).

# <u>Linear Model Results ( $\alpha = 0$ ): EAKF</u> (All results throughout are for prior estimates)



EAKF is just an algorithm for computing Kalman Filter. Ensemble members don't cross, identical spacing when converged.

41

#### Linear Model Results ( $\alpha = 0$ ): EnKF



EnKF is a Monte Carlo algorithm approximating Kalman Filter. Ensemble members cross, moments (like kurtosis) vary with time. Sampling error due to small ensembles is an issue.



EAKF exact for any ensemble size (>1). EnKF has sampling error (smaller value at 40 is an 'accident').



Model advance: furthest outlier pushed out fastest. All members pulled in linearly by assimilation. All members but outlier clump together; get huge kurtosis.

## Nonlinear Model Results ( $\alpha = 0.8$ ): EnKF



Model advance: furthest outlier pushed out fastest. Assimilation mixes members some. Still get high kurtosis sometimes.



## A Deterministic Non-Gaussian Observation Space Update.

- 1. Most ensemble filters assume prior and likelihood are ~gaussian.
- 2. Particle filters do full non-gaussian, but may not scale.
- 3. Assuming non-gaussian in observation space is possible.
- 4. Gaussian kernel filters have been proposed but are costly.

#### Requirements for an observation space update:

- 1. Low information content obs. can't lead to large increments;
- 2. Want smallest possible increments for all cases;
- 3. Comparable to gaussian filters for ~gaussian cases;
- 4. Better than gaussian in non-gaussian cases;
- 5. Computationally cheap.



Apply forward operator to each ensemble member. Get prior ensemble in observation space.



<u>Step 1</u>: Get continuous prior distribution density.

Place  $(ens\_size + 1)^{-1}$  mass between adjacent ensemble members.



<u>Step 1</u>: Get continuous prior distribution density.

Place  $(ens\_size + 1)^{-1}$  mass between adjacent ensemble members.



<u>Step 1</u>: Get continuous prior distribution density.

Place  $(ens\_size + 1)^{-1}$  mass between adjacent ensemble members.



<u>Step 1</u>: Get continuous prior distribution density.

Place  $(ens\_size + 1)^{-1}$  mass between adjacent ensemble members.



<u>Step 1</u>: Get continuous prior distribution density.

Place (ens\_size + 1)<sup>-1</sup> mass between adjacent ensemble members. Partial gaussian kernels on tails, N(*tail\_mean*,  $\sigma_{ens}$ ).

*tail\_mean* selected so that  $(ens\_size + 1)^{-1}$  mass is in tail. Performance is sensitive to the tail structure.



<u>Step 2</u>: Get an approximation of likelihood.

Could use full gaussian but this is expensive.



<u>Step 2</u>: Get an approximation of likelihood.

Example: linear fit between value at ensemble members. Keep exact gaussian form on tails.



<u>Step 3</u>: Compute prior\*likelihood to get posterior.

Simple and cheap in interior.

(Displayed product normalized to make posterior a PDF).



<u>Step 3</u>: Compute prior\*likelihood to get posterior.

Product of gaussians on tails (we have to use the weight here!).



<u>Step 4</u>: Compute updated ensemble members:

 $(ens\_size + 1)^{-1}$  of posterior mass between each ensemble pair.  $(ens\_size + 1)^{-1}$  in each tail. Uninformative observation has no impact.

59



Compare to standard Ensemble Adjustment Filter (EAKF).

Nearly gaussian case, differences are small.

## Outliers are a Challenge for Gaussian Filters



Rank Histogram gets rid of outlier that is clearly inconsistent with obs. EAKF can't get rid of outlier.

Large prior variance from outlier causes EAKF to shift all members too much towards observation.

# Multimodal Prior Distributions



Rank Histogram handles multimodal prior and compelling observation. EAKF still bimodal; left mode is inconsistent with everything.

Lorenz\_63 can have priors like this.

# **Multimodal Prior Distributions**



Convective scale models have analogous behavior. Convection may fire at 'random' locations. Subset of ensembles will be in right place, rest in wrong place. Want to aggressively eliminate convection in wrong place.



Competitive for >10 members.



RHF best for all ensemble sizes.



RHF smallest for ensemble sizes > 10. Doesn't have outlier excursions (max is small). EAKF has HUGE kurtosis (off the plot).

# <u>Results: Lorenz63 RMS</u> All 3 state variables observed, error variance 1.0



RHF and EnKF comparable.

EAKF gets progressively worse (but pretty good for 10 members).

# <u>Results: Lorenz63 EAKF</u> All 3 state variables observed, error variance 1.0



Wandering ensemble member can detach, head into wrong lobe. Happens less frequently and severely in EnKF. Can reattach due to mixing from other variables.

# <u>Results: Lorenz63 Kurtosis</u> All 3 state variables observed, error variance 1.0



Kurtosis is big in EAKF due to detached outliers. Happens less frequently and severely in EnKF. Outliers quickly eliminated by RHF, kurtosis stays smaller.

#### Results: Lorenz96 RMS

40 Observations, average of adjacent state variables, Error var = 4. Localization halfwidth 0.3 of domain, adaptive inflation.



EAKF RMS increases moderately with ensemble size. EnKF and RHF comparable for larger ensembles.

#### Results: Lorenz96 Kurtosis

40 Observations, average of adjacent state variables, Error var = 4. Localization halfwidth 0.3 of domain, adaptive inflation.



EnKF has sporadic large kurtosis, increases with ensemble size. EAKF max kurtosis very large (off plot).

# Results: Dynamical Core of GFDL AM2 GCM

# 1. EAKF: sporadic spatially localized outlier behavior.



Lowest level T 30W, 50N.

300 radiosondeprofiles every12 hours.

No inflation.

0.2 radian localization.

2. EnKF: similar behavior less frequently.
3. RHF: no evidence this occurs.
# Results: Global NWP in Finite Volume CAM

- 1. Limited evidence of outlier events in any filter.
- 2. Prior fit to observations:

80-member EAKF and RHF virtually indistinguishable. (Comparable to NCEP operational, better in tropics, near sfc.).80-member EnKF significantly worse.

### Other ways to Approximate the Likelihood



Results shown for linear interpolation in interior, gaussian tails.

## Other ways to Approximate the Likelihood



Average likelihood at bounding ensemble members for interior.
Computing posterior ensemble becomes very cheap.
Expected spread of posterior increased. This can be good.
RMS errors mostly indistinguishable from base case.

# Other ways to Approximate the Likelihood



Likelihood on tails is constant value at outermost ensemble.

Also tends to increase spread.

Likelihood on tails generally unimportant.

RMS still nearly the same.

# Call this a Non-parametric Rank Histogram Filter (NPRHF)



Only need value of likelihood computed for each ensemble member. Can handle arbitrary non-gaussian likelihoods. Can help to keep bounded quantities bounded.

Have state vector, x.

Sets of observations at discrete times,  $t_i$ .

Complete history of observations is:  $Y_{\tau} = \{y_i; t_i \le \tau\}$ 

Go back to Bayes, assimilating observations at time  $t_k$ :

$$p(x, t_k | Y_{t_k}) = \frac{p(y_k | x) p(x, t_k | Y_{t_{k-1}})}{norm}$$

Update marginal for jth component of x independently:

$$p_{j}(x_{j}, t_{k} | Y_{t_{k}}) = \frac{p(y_{k} | x)p_{j}(x_{j}, t_{k} | Y_{t_{k-1}})}{norm}$$

Just use the NPRHF.

Under what conditions is the marginal update a good approximation?

This works well in Lorenz-63. Almost always better than EAKF and EnKF. Significantly better for infrequent observations.

Challenge for large models:

Likelihood from remote 'unrelated' obs. is just noise for state.

Solution: Compute a 'localized' likelihood for each state variable: Damp likelihood to ensemble mean,  $\overline{L}$ , as function of localization:  $\hat{L}_n = \overline{L} + \alpha (L_n - \overline{L}), \quad n=1,...,ens\_size$ 



Algorithmic summary:

- 1. Compute forward operators for set of observations.
- 2. Compute ensemble likelihoods for each observation.
- 3. Compute cumulative likelihood for each state variable:
  - a. For each ensemble member, product of localized likelihoods.
  - b. Assumes independent observational errors.
- 4. For each state variable, use NPRHP to update ensemble.

Very efficient:

1. No computation of covariances between priors.

2. Only one application of RHF update per state variable.Assimilation is faster for standard atmospheric problems. (Same scaling but constant factor smaller)

Scalable algorithm:

- 1. Forward operators/likelihoods all independent (parallel).
- 2. Products of likelihoods independent for all states/ensembles.
- 3. Can select subset of 'best' observations for each state.
- 4. Parallel requires NO extra computations.

But, does it work? Yes (and no).

For Lorenz-96, 80 member RMS about 20% greater than EAKF. For GFDL dynamical core, PS observations, about 10% greater.

Why is it worse?

- 1. Doesn't know anything about likelihood on tails. This can hurt when everything is close to gaussian.
- 2. Noise from unrelated observations.
  - Unrelated observations cause all ensembles to move. Smoothing likelihoods in clever ways should address this.

### Want to try it out?

The Rank Histogram Filter and 7 other ensemble update variants are in DART.

www.image.ucar.edu/DAReS/DART.



# The nonlinear non-gaussian filter is not yet in public releases.