Introduction to Ensemble Kalman Filters and the Data Assimilation Research Testbed

Jeffrey Anderson, Tim Hoar, Nancy Collins NCAR Institute for Math Applied to Geophysics

ICAP Workshop; 11 May 2011 pg 1

What is Data Assimilation?

Observations Observations combined with a Model forecast…

ICAP Workshop; 11 May 2011

An observation has a value $(*)$,

ICAP Workshop; 11 May 2011

An observation has a value $(*)$,

and an error distribution (red curve) that is associated with the instrument.

ICAP Workshop; 11 May 2011

Thermometer outside measures 1C.

Instrument builder says thermometer is unbiased with +/- 0.8C gaussian error.

ICAP Workshop; 11 May 2011

Thermometer outside measures 1C.

The red plot is $P(T\,|\,T_{o})$, probability of temperature given that T_o was observed.

ICAP Workshop; 11 May 2011

We also have a prior estimate of temperature.

The green curve is $\; P(T\,|\,C);$ probability of temperature given all available prior information C .

Prior information C can include:

- 1. Observations of things besides T;
- 2. Model forecast made using observations at earlier times; \overline{a}
- *3. A priori* physical constraints (T > -273.15C);
- 4. Climatological constraints (-30C < T < 40C).

Rewrite Bayes as:

$$
\frac{P(T_o \mid T, C)P(T \mid C)}{P(T_o \mid C)} = \frac{P(T_o \mid T, C)P(T \mid C)}{\int P(T_o \mid x)P(x \mid C)dx}
$$

$$
= \frac{P(T_o \mid T, C)P(T \mid C)}{normalization}
$$

Denominator normalizes so Posterior is PDF.

ICAP Workshop; 11 May 2011

Consistent Color Scheme Throughout Tutorial

Green = Prior

Red = Observation

Blue = Posterior

Black = Truth

(truth available only for 'perfect model' examples)

ICAP Workshop; 11 May 2011

$$
P(T|T_0, C) = \frac{P(T_0|T, C)P(T|C)}{normalization}
$$

Generally no analytic solution for Posterior.

$$
P(T|T_0, C) = \frac{P(T_0|T, C)P(T|C)}{normalization}
$$

Gaussian Prior and Likelihood -> Gaussian Posterior

For Gaussian prior and likelihood… Combining the Prior Estimate and Observation

Prior Likelihood Then, Posterior $P(T | C) = Normal(T_p, \sigma_p)$ $P(T_o | T, C) = Normal(T_o, \sigma_o)$ $P(T | T_o, C) = Normal(T_u, \sigma_u)$

$$
\sigma_u = \sqrt{\left(\sigma_p^{-2} + \sigma_o^{-2}\right)^{-1}}
$$

With

$$
T_u = \sigma_u^2 \left[\sigma_p^{-2} T_p + \sigma_o^{-2} T_o \right]
$$

- 1. Suppose we have a linear forecast model L
	- A. If temperature at time $t_1 = T_{11}$, then temperature at $t_2 = t_1 + \Delta t$ is $T_2 = L(T_1)$
	- B. Example: $T_2 = T_1 + \Delta t T_1$

- 1. Suppose we have a linear forecast model L.
	- A. If temperature at time $t_1 = T_{11}$, then temperature at $t_2 = t_1 + \Delta t$ is $T_2 = L(T_1)$.
	- B. Example: $T_2 = T_1 + \Delta t T_1$.
- 2. If posterior estimate at time t_1 is *Normal*($T_{u,1}$, $\sigma_{u,1}$) then prior at t_2 is *Normal*(T_{p.2}, $\sigma_{p,2}$).

$$
T_{p,2} = T_{u,1} + \Delta t T_{u,1}
$$

$$
\sigma_{p,2} = (\Delta t + 1) \sigma_{u,1}
$$

- 1. Suppose we have a linear forecast model L.
	- A. If temperature at time $t_1 = T_1$, then temperature at $t_2 = t_1 + \Delta t$ is $T_2 = L(T_1)$.
	- B. Example: $T_2 = T_1 + \Delta t T_1$.
- 2. If posterior estimate at time t_1 is *Normal*($T_{u,1}$, $\sigma_{u,1}$) then prior at t₂ is *Normal*(T_{p.2}, $\sigma_{p,2}$).
- 3. Given an observation at t₂ with distribution *Normal*(t_o, σ _o) the likelihood is also *Normal*(t_0 , σ_0).

- 1. Suppose we have a linear forecast model L.
	- A. If temperature at time $t_1 = T_1$, then temperature at $t_2 = t_1 + \Delta t$ is $T_2 = L(T_1)$.
	- B. Example: $T_2 = T_1 + \Delta t T_1$.
- 2. If posterior estimate at time t_1 is *Normal*($T_{u,1}$, $\sigma_{u,1}$) then prior at t₂ is *Normal*(T_{p.2}, $\sigma_{p,2}$).
- 3. Given an observation at t₂ with distribution *Normal*(t_o, σ _o) the likelihood is also *Normal*(t_{o} , σ_{o}).
- 4. The posterior at t₂ is *Normal*($T_{u,2}$, $\sigma_{u,2}$) where $T_{u,2}$ and $\sigma_{u,2}$ come from page 19.

A One-Dimensional Ensemble Kalman Filter

Represent a prior pdf by a sample (ensemble) of N values:

ICAP Workshop; 11 May 2011

A One-Dimensional Ensemble Kalman Filter

Represent a prior pdf by a sample (ensemble) of N values:

€

ICAP Workshop; 11 May 2011

If posterior ensemble at time t_1 is $T_{1,n}$, n = 1, ..., N

ICAP Workshop; 11 May 2011

If posterior ensemble at time t_1 is $T_{1,n}$, n = 1, ... N, advance each member to time t_2 with model, $T_{2,n} = L(T_{1,n})$ n = 1, …, N.

Same as advancing continuous pdf at time t_1 ...

ICAP Workshop; 11 May 2011

Same as advancing continuous pdf at time t_1 to time t_2 with model L.

ICAP Workshop; 11 May 2011

ICAP Workshop; 11 May 2011

Fit a Gaussian to the sample.

Get the observation likelihood.

ICAP Workshop; 11 May 2011

Compute the continuous posterior PDF.

Use a deterministic algorithm to 'adjust' the ensemble.

ICAP Workshop; 11 May 2011

First, 'shift' the ensemble to have the exact mean of the posterior.

ICAP Workshop; 11 May 2011

First, 'shift' the ensemble to have the exact mean of the posterior. Second, linearly contract to have the exact variance of the posterior. Sample statistics are identical to Kalman filter.

We now know how to assimilate a single observed variable.

Section 2: How should observations of one state variable impact an unobserved state variable?

ICAP Workshop; 11 May 2011 pg 37

Single observed variable, single unobserved variable

So far, we have a known observation likelihood for single variable.

Now, suppose the prior has an additional variable.

Examine how ensemble members update the additional variable.

Basic method generalizes to any number of additional variables.

Assume that all we know is prior joint distribution.

One variable is observed, temperature at Boulder.

What should happen to an unobserved variable, like temperature at Denver?

Assume that all we know is prior joint distribution.

One variable is observed.

Update observed variable as in previous section.

Assume that all we know is prior joint distribution.

One variable is observed.

Update observed variable as in previous section.

Assume that all we know is prior joint distribution.

One variable is observed.

Update observed variable as in previous section.

Assume that all we know is prior joint distribution.

One variable is observed.

Assume that all we know is prior joint distribution.

One variable is observed.

Assume that all we know is prior joint distribution.

One variable is observed.

Assume that all we know is prior joint distribution.

One variable is observed.

Assume that all we know is prior joint distribution.

One variable is observed.

Assume that all we know is prior joint distribution.

One variable is observed.

Using only increments guarantees that if observation had no impact on observed variable, unobserved variable is unchanged (highly desirable).

Assume that all we know is prior joint distribution.

How should the unobserved variable be impacted?

First choice: least squares.

Equivalent to linear regression.

Same as assuming binormal prior.

Have joint prior distribution of two variables.

How should the unobserved variable be impacted?

First choice: least squares.

Begin by finding **least squares** fit.

Have joint prior distribution of two variables.

Next, regress the observed variable increments onto increments for the unobserved variable.

Have joint prior distribution of two variables.

Next, regress the observed variable increments onto increments for the unobserved variable.

Have joint prior distribution of two variables.

Next, regress the observed variable increments onto increments for the unobserved variable.

Have joint prior distribution of two variables.

Next, regress the observed variable increments onto increments for the unobserved variable.

Have joint prior distribution of two variables.

Next, regress the observed variable increments onto increments for the unobserved variable.

Have joint prior distribution of two variables.

Regression: Equivalent to first finding image of increment in joint space.

Then projecting from joint space onto unobserved priors.

Have joint prior distribution of two variables.

Regression: Equivalent to first finding image of increment in joint space.

Then projecting from joint space onto unobserved priors.

Have joint prior distribution of two variables.

Regression: Equivalent to first finding image of increment in joint space.

Then projecting from joint space onto unobserved priors.

Have joint prior distribution of two variables.

Regression: Equivalent to first finding image of increment in joint space.

Then projecting from joint space onto unobserved priors.

Have joint prior distribution of two variables.

Regression: Equivalent to first finding image of increment in joint space.

Then projecting from joint space onto unobserved priors.

Now have an updated (posterior) ensemble for the unobserved variable.

Now have an updated (posterior) ensemble for the unobserved variable.

Fitting Gaussians shows that mean and variance have changed.

Now have an updated (posterior) ensemble for the unobserved variable.

Fitting Gaussians shows that mean and variance have changed.

Other features of the prior distribution may also have changed.

1. Use model to advance ensemble (3 members here) to time at which next observation becomes available.

2. Get prior ensemble sample of observation, $y = h(x)$, by applying forward operator **h** to each ensemble member.

Theory: observations from instruments with uncorrelated errors can be done sequentially.

ICAP Workshop; 11 May 2011

3. Get observed value and observational error distribution from observing system.

ICAP Workshop; 11 May 2011

4. Find the increments for the prior observation ensemble (this is a scalar problem for uncorrelated observation errors).

ICAP Workshop; 11 May 2011

5. Use ensemble samples of **y** and each state variable to linearly regress observation increments onto state variable increments.

ICAP Workshop; 11 May 2011

6. When all ensemble members for each state variable are updated, there is a new analysis. Integrate to time of next observation …

ICAP Workshop; 11 May 2011

A generic ensemble filter system like DART just needs: 1. A way to make model forecasts;

ICAP Workshop; 11 May 2011

A generic ensemble filter system like DART just needs:

1. A way to make model forecasts;

2. A way to compute forward operators, h.

ICAP Workshop; 11 May 2011

Ensemble Filters for Aerosols: Strengths

1. Fully multivariate:

All observations impact all state variables, Tracer obs impact tracer and meteorological state, Meteorological observations impact tracer state, too.

2. Tracers are modeled and assimilated 'on-line'.

3. Complex forward operators (e.g. radiances) can be used.

Ensemble Filters for Aerosols: Challenges

- 1. Forecast model must generate covariances: Requires a good parameterized source model, Vertical distributions of tracer must be accurate.
- 2. Maintaining sufficient variability (spread).
- 3. Dealing with highly uncertain distributions.
- 4. Systematic errors in remote sensing observations.

R

DART is:

Basic Capability: Ensemble Analyses and Forecasts in Large Geophysical Models

contours from 5400 to 5880 by 80

Forecast from CAM (Community Atmosphere Model)

Hurricane Katrina Sensitivity Analysis

Contours: ensemble mean 48h forecast of deep-layer mean wind.

It's Easy to Add New Models (Tracers) to DART

Uses set of well-defined interfaces. Adding a large global model takes person weeks.

Adding tracer to large model can be trivial. Can add new tracer to CAM (global atmosphere model) at runtime.

Major DART compliant models

CAM spectral and FV, CAM/CHEM, WACCM WRF, WRF/CHEM, WRF/MARS GFDL AM2 CMAQ (EPA dispersion model) POP ocean GCM MIT ocean GCM COAMPS NOGAPS ROSE (upper atmosphere model)

It's Easy to Add New Observations, too.

Requires only forward operator, h:

maps state to expected observation.

No linear tangents or adjoints.

Limited amount of additional coding in well-defined framework.

DART Observation Types include:

- 1.T, winds, moisture from radiosondes, ACARS.
- 2. Satellite drift winds.
- 3. Doppler radar velocity, reflectivity.
- 4. GPS radio occultation refractivity.
- 5. Ground-based GPS.
- 6. Scatterometer winds.
- 7. Retrievals from orbiting radiometers.
- 8. Development underway for radiances.

Aerosol observations easy to implement, challenging to use…

GPS Radio Occultation (RO)

Basic measurement principle:

Deduce atmospheric water vapor and temperature based on measurement of GPS signal phase delay.

Typhoon Shanshan (Sept 10-17, 2006)

Ensemble Forecasts of Minimum Sea Level Pressure NoGPS GPS

Intensity of the typhoon is significantly increased with RO data.

Forecast Probability of Rainfall >60mm/24h, 12Z 14-15 Sept. NoGPS GPS

 120^{\degree} E

120 E

Rainfall probability is increased with RO data.

Code to implement all of the algorithms discussed are freely available from:

http://www.image.ucar.edu/DAReS/DART/

ICAP Workshop; 11 May 2011 pg 86

