# An Introduction to Ensemble Data Assimilation and the Data Assimilation Research Testbed (DART)



Jeffrey Anderson, Nancy Collins, Tim Hoar, Hui Liu, Glen Romine, Kevin Raeder NCAR Institute for Math Applied to Geophysics



Cornell University March 2012



#### What is Data Assimilation?

Observations combined with a Model forecast...





Cornell University March 2012



An observation has a value (\*),





Cornell University March 2012



An observation has a value (\*),



and an error distribution (red curve) that is associated with the instrument.



Cornell University March 2012



Thermometer outside measures 1C.



Instrument builder says thermometer is unbiased with +/- 0.8C gaussian error.



Cornell University March 2012



Thermometer outside measures 1C.



The red plot is  $P(T \mid T_o)$ , probability of temperature given that  $T_o$  was observed.



Cornell University March 2012



We also have a prior estimate of temperature.



The green curve is P(T | C); probability of temperature given all available prior information *C*.





Prior information C can include:

- 1. Observations of things besides T;
- 2. Model forecast made using observations at earlier times;
- 3. A priori physical constraints (T > -273.15C);
- 4. Climatological constraints (-30C < T < 40C).





Bayes  
Theorem: 
$$P(T | T_o, C) = \frac{P(T_o | T, C)P(T | C)}{Normalization}$$
  
Posterior: Probability  
of T given  
observations and  
Prior. Also called  
update or analysis.













0

Temperature

-2

pg 11

2



Cornell University March 2012











**Consistent Color Scheme Throughout Tutorial** 

Green = Prior

- Red = Observation
- Blue = Posterior
- Black = Truth





$$P(T \mid T_o, C) = \frac{P(T_o \mid T, C)P(T \mid C)}{normalization}$$

Generally no analytic solution for Posterior.



$$P(T \mid T_o, C) = \frac{P(T_o \mid T, C)P(T \mid C)}{normalization}$$

Gaussian Prior and Likelihood -> Gaussian Posterior



Combining the Prior Estimate and Observation For Gaussian prior and likelihood...

Prior $P(T \mid C) = Normal(T_p, \sigma_p)$ Likelihood $P(T_o \mid T, C) = Normal(T_o, \sigma_o)$ Then, Posterior $P(T \mid T_o, C) = Normal(T_u, \sigma_u)$ 

$$\sigma_u = \sqrt{\left(\sigma_p^{-2} + \sigma_o^{-2}\right)^{-1}}$$

With

$$T_u = \sigma_u^2 \left[ \sigma_p^{-2} T_p + \sigma_o^{-2} T_o \right]$$





#### What is Ensemble Data Assimilation?

Use an ensemble (set) of model forecasts.

Use sample statistics to get covariance between state and observations.





Cornell University March 2012







Cornell University March 2012





Fit a Gaussian to the sample.







Get the observation likelihood.







Compute the continuous posterior PDF.







Use a deterministic algorithm to 'adjust' the ensemble.







First, 'shift' the ensemble to have the exact mean of the posterior.



Cornell University March 2012





First, 'shift' the ensemble to have the exact mean of the posterior. Second, linearly contract to have the exact variance of the posterior. Sample statistics are identical to Kalman filter.



Cornell University March 2012



#### Multivariate Ensemble Kalman Filter

So far, we have an observation likelihood for single variable.

Suppose the model prior has additional variables.

Use linear regression to update additional variables.







Assume that all we know is prior joint distribution. One variable is observed. What should happen to the unobserved variable?







Assume that all we know is prior joint distribution.

One variable is observed.

Compute increments for prior ensemble members of observed variable.







Assume that all we know is prior joint distribution.

One variable is observed.

Using only increments guarantees that if observation had no impact on observed variable, unobserved variable is unchanged (highly desirable).







Assume that all we know is prior joint distribution.

How should the unobserved variable be impacted?

First choice: least squares.

Equivalent to linear regression.

Same as assuming binormal prior.







### Have joint prior distribution of two variables.

How should the unobserved variable be impacted?

First choice: least squares.

Begin by finding <u>least squares</u> <u>fit.</u>







Have joint prior distribution of two variables.

Next, regress the observed variable increments onto increments for the unobserved variable.







Have joint prior distribution of two variables.

Next, regress the observed variable increments onto increments for the unobserved variable.







Have joint prior distribution of two variables.

Next, regress the observed variable increments onto increments for the unobserved variable.







Have joint prior distribution of two variables.

Next, regress the observed variable increments onto increments for the unobserved variable.






Have joint prior distribution of two variables.

Next, regress the observed variable increments onto increments for the unobserved variable.

Equivalent to first finding image of increment in joint space.







Have joint prior distribution of two variables.

Regression: Equivalent to first finding image of increment in joint space.







Have joint prior distribution of two variables.

Regression: Equivalent to first finding image of increment in joint space.







Have joint prior distribution of two variables.

Regression: Equivalent to first finding image of increment in joint space.







Have joint prior distribution of two variables.

Regression: Equivalent to first finding image of increment in joint space.







Have joint prior distribution of two variables.

Regression: Equivalent to first finding image of increment in joint space.







Now have an updated (posterior) ensemble for the unobserved variable.







Now have an updated (posterior) ensemble for the unobserved variable.

Fitting Gaussians shows that mean and variance have changed.







Now have an updated (posterior) ensemble for the unobserved variable.

Fitting Gaussians shows that mean and variance have changed.

Other features of the prior distribution may also have changed.





1. Use model to advance ensemble (3 members here) to time at which next observation becomes available.





2. Get prior ensemble sample of observation, y = h(x), by applying forward operator **h** to each ensemble member.



Theory: observations from instruments with uncorrelated errors can be done sequentially.



Cornell University March 2012



3. Get observed value and observational error distribution from observing system.





Cornell University March 2012



4. Find the increments for the prior observation ensemble (this is a scalar problem for uncorrelated observation errors).





Cornell University March 2012



5. Use ensemble samples of y and each state variable to linearly regress observation increments onto state variable increments.





Cornell University March 2012



6. When all ensemble members for each state variable are updated, there is a new analysis. Integrate to time of next observation ...





Cornell University March 2012



A generic ensemble filter system like DART just needs:

1. A way to make model forecasts;





Cornell University March 2012



A generic ensemble filter system like DART just needs:

1. A way to make model forecasts;

2. A way to compute forward operators, h.





Cornell University March 2012





#### DART is used at:

#### 43 UCAR member universities More than 100 other sites

#### Public domain software for ensemble Data Assimilation

Well-tested, portable, extensible, free!

#### Models

Toy to HUGE

#### Observations

- Real, synthetic, novel

#### An extensive Tutorial

With examples, exercises, explanations

People: The DAReS Team



Cornell University March 2012

Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology

pg 54

noar

PARNE

WASHINGTON



PENNSTATE

100

OF UTAH

Central Weather Bureau



#### Global Atmosphere models:

CAM	Community Atmosphere Model	NCAR
CAM/CHEM	CAM with Chemistry	NCAR
WACCM	Whole Atmosphere Community	NCAR
	Climate Model	
AM2	Atmosphere Model 2	NOAA/GFDL
NOGAPS	Navy Operational Global	US Navy
	Atmospheric Prediction System	-
ECHAM	European Centre Hamburg Model	Hamburg
Planet WRF	Global version of WRF	JPL
MPAS	Model for Prediction Across	NCAR/DOE
	Scales (under development)	





#### Regional Atmosphere models:

WRF/ARW	Weather Research and	NCAR
	Forecast Model	
WRF/CHEM	WRF with Chemistry	NCAR
NCOMMAS	Collaborative Model for	NOAA/NSSL
	Multiscale Atmospheric Simulation	
COAMPS	Coupled Ocean/Atmosphere	US Navy
	Mesoscale Prediction System	
CMAQ	Community Multi-scale Air Quality	EPA
COSMO	Consortium for Small-Scale	DWD
	Modeling	







#### Ocean models:

POP	Parallel Ocean Program	DOE/NCAR
MIT OGCM	Ocean General Circulation	MIT
	Model	
ROMS	Regional Ocean Modeling	Rutgers
	System (under development)	
MPAS	Model for Prediction Across	DOE/LANL
	Scales (Under development)	







#### <u>Upper Atmosphere/Space Weather models</u>:

ROSE		NCAR
TieGCM	Thermosphere lonosphere	NCAR/HAO
	Electrodynamic GCM	
GITM	Global lonosphere	
	Thermosphere Model	Michigan







#### Land Surface models:

CLM

**Community Land Model** 

NCAR



Cornell University March 2012



#### Focus on DART Science with CAM

Basic Capability: Ensemble Analyses and Forecasts Works for all CAM versions since 2002



### **Ensemble Analyses and Forecasts**

Sample collaborations:

Edmund Chang, Stony Brook Pacific storm track/cyclogenesis



contours from 5400 to 5880 by 80

Nedjeljka Zagar, Ljubljana University Normal mode analysis of general circulation

Maria Tsukernik, Monash/Brown Antarctic cyclones





### **Ensemble Analyses and Forecasts**

Sample collaborations:

Rahul Mahajan, U. Washington Real-time ensemble forecasts For Pacific Northwest.



Ibrahim Hoteit, KAUST Saudi Arabia Gulf of Mexico Ocean Prediction.

Ryan Torn, SUNY Albany Real-time Atlantic hurricane forecasts.



Cornell University March 2012



# Diagnosing and Correcting Errors in the CAM Finite Volume core with DART



Kevin Raeder\* Jeff Anderson\* Peter Lauritzen<sup>+</sup> Tim Hoar\*

\*NCAR/CISL/IMAGe/DAReS \*NCAR/ESSL/CGD/AMPS



Cornell University March 2012



#### Gridpoint noise detected in CAM/DART analysis



Ensemble Mean V at 266 hPa at 6 hours

CAM FV core - 80 member mean - 00Z 25 September 2006



Cornell University March 2012



## Suspicions turned to the polar filter (DPF)



CAM FV core - 80 member mean - 00Z 25 September 2006



Cornell University March 2012



### Continuous polar filter (alt-pft) eliminated noise.





Cornell University March 2012



#### Differences mostly in transition region of default filter.





Cornell University March 2012



Diagnosing and Correcting Errors in the CAM Finite Volume core with DART

The use of DART diagnosed a problem that had been unrecognized (or at least undocumented).

Could have an important effect on any physics in which meridional mixing is important.

The problem can be seen in 'free runs' - it is not a data assimilation artifact.

Without assimilation, can't get reproducing occurrences to diagnose.





# Cloud response to the 2007 Arctic sea ice loss in CAM3.5 and CAM4

Data Assimilation Research Testbed

Jennifer E. Kay

National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) Colorado State University (CSU) Collaborators: Julienne Stroeve (NSIDC), Andrew Gettelman, Kevin Raeder, Jeff Anderson (NCAR), Graeme Stephens, Tristan L' Ecuyer, Chris O' Dell (CSU)



Cornell University March 2012



#### CAM4's cloud response to sea ice loss; July 2006 to 2007

24-hour forecasts started from DART/CAM analyses identified erroneous cloud response to disappearing sea ice. Jen Kay found that low clouds were only diagnosed over open water, not ice, and the low cloud scheme should have required a well mixed boundary layer.

#### Short forecasts with a climate model from analyses, compared against observations, point to model improvements.

**July CAM4 Forecasts** 

120W

90W

150E

120E

90E 90W

#### **Observed** ice fraction loss



#### Exploring the Impact of Novel Observations: Impact of COSMIC GPS Observations in Cam Analyses





Cornell University March 2012


# Impact of COSMIC GPS Observations in Cam Analyses Observations 1 December 2006

GPS

ACARS and Aircraft



# CAM 6-hour forecast Bias from December 2006 Radiosonde Specific Humidity (Q)







# Conclusions

GPS has significant information, especially about moisture;
Most important where other observations are sparse;
Ensemble assimilation can do full multivariate improvement;
Must carefully consider planning of future obs systems.

➤CAM biases can be reduced with GPS observations.





Estimating CO with MOPITT remote sensing observations in CAM/Chem Ave Arellano, ACD (now U. Arizona)



# Ave extended DART/CAM for CAM/Chem.







Estimating CO with MOPITT remote sensing observations in CAM/Chem Ave Arellano, ACD (now U. Arizona)





Then he added MOPITT observations. Improved fit to aircraft CO obs.





Estimating CO with MOPITT remote sensing observations in CAM/Chem Ave Arellano, ACD (now U. Arizona)



This system was used for real-time support for ARCTAS field campaign.







# Moving towards coupled assimilation for earth system models.



Tim Hoar, Nancy Collins, Kevin Raeder, Jeffrey Anderson, NCAR Institute for Math Applied to Geophysics Data Assimilation Research Section

> Steve Yeager, Mariana Vertenstein, Gokhan Danabasoglu, Alicia Karspeck, and Joe Tribbia NCAR/NESL/CGD/Oceanography



Cornell University March 2012



### Ocean Data Assimilation Motivation

- Climate change over time scales of 1 to several decades has been identified as very important for mitigation and infrastructure planning.
- CGD needs ocean initial conditions for the IPCC decadal prediction program.





## Hypothesis: Need Ensemble of Atmospheres to Force Ensemble Assimilation for Ocean

- Case 1: 23 POP members forced by a single atmosphere.
- Case 2: 48 POP members forced by 48 CAM/DART analyses.
- Generates additional ocean spread, improved analyses.



CONTOUR FROM 5200 TO 5700 BY 100







## World Ocean Database T,S observation counts

These counts are for 1998 & 1999 and are representative.

FLOAT_SALINITY
FLOAT_TEMPERATURE
DRIFTER_TEMPERATURE
MOORING_SALINITY
MOORING_TEMPERATURE
BOTTLE_SALINITY
BOTTLE_TEMPERATURE
CTD_SALINITY
CTD_TEMPERATURE
STD_SALINITY
STD_TEMPERATURE
XCTD SALINITY
XCTD TEMPERATURE
MBT_TEMPERATURE
XBT_TEMPERATURE
APB_TEMPERATURE



- temperature observation error standard deviation == 0.5 K.
- salinity observation error standard deviation == 0.5 msu.



Cornell University March 2012



#### Ensemble Spread for Pacific 100m XBT



## 100m Mooring Temperature RMSE – Pacific





Cornell University March 2012



Physical Space: 1998/1999 SST Anomaly from HadOI-SST





#### (David Dowell, NOAA)

#### March 28 Tornado



#### May 4 (Greensburg, KS) Tornado Case



NCAR



Researd

# Hurricane Katrina Sensitivity Analysis (Ryan Torn, SUNY Albany)



Contours are ensemble mean 48h forecast of deep-layer

Color indicates change in the longitude of Katrina.







## DART Includes Many Diagnostic Tools Observation Visualization Example



DART Includes Many Algorithms to Improve Performance

Adaptive inflation to maintain spread
Adaptive localization to reduce computation
Group filter to design localization
Sampling error correction to reduce errors

➤General parallel implementation





Code to implement all of the algorithms discussed is freely available from:



#### http://www.image.ucar.edu/DAReS/DART/



Cornell University March 2012

