



# Prior and Posterior Inflation for Ensemble Filters: Theoretical Formulation and Application to Community Atmosphere Model

#### Mohamad (Moha) E. Gharamti, NCAR, Boulder, CO

Co-authors: Kevin Raeder, Jeffrey Anderson, Xuguang Wang

#### 2019 SIAM Conference on Computational Science and Engineering [Spokane, WA]

*E-mail:* gharamti@ucar.edu DART: http://www.image.ucar.edu/DAReS/DART/





### **Table of Contents**

**Motivation and Inflation Review** 

#### Inflation

Adaptive Prior Inflation Adaptive Posterior Inflation

The Community Atmosphere Model

Conclusions

### 1.1 Drawbacks (Errors) in Ensemble Filters

- Sampling Errors: results from using a limited ensemble size. Causes <u>underestimation</u> of the true variance
- Model Errors: biased model produces ensemble predictions that are typically far from the observations. Big discrepancies between the model's prediction and the observations may lead to an ensemble collapse

### 1.1 Drawbacks (Errors) in Ensemble Filters

- Sampling Errors: results from using a limited ensemble size. Causes <u>underestimation</u> of the true variance
- Model Errors: biased model produces ensemble predictions that are typically far from the observations. Big discrepancies between the model's prediction and the observations may lead to an ensemble collapse

**Remedy:** Preserve the mean and increase the ensemble variance through "inflation"

- multiplicative
- additive
- obs. space











\* What inflation scheme is more effective at handling sampling/model errors? [Anderson 2009] Adaptive in space and time [Miyoshi 2011] Adaptive, Gaussian approximation [Gharamti 2018] Adaptive, Enhanced form, non-Gaussian

[Zhang et al. 2004] RTPP [Whitaker and Hamill 2012] RTPS [Hodyss 2016] OPI Observation-dependent

[Gharamti et al. 2019] Adaptive, non-Gaussian (in this talk!)



#### **2.1 Innovation Statistics**

Given a scalar variable with sample  $x^i$  and observation  $y_o$ 

$$\overline{x}_{b|a} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{b|a}^{i}, \qquad \widehat{\sigma}_{b|a}^{2} = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( x_{b|a}^{i} - \overline{x}_{b|a} \right)^{2}$$
(1)

#### 2.1 Innovation Statistics

Given a scalar variable with sample  $x^i$  and observation  $y_o$ 

$$\overline{x}_{b|a} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{b|a}^{i}, \qquad \widehat{\sigma}_{b|a}^{2} = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( x_{b|a}^{i} - \overline{x}_{b|a} \right)^{2}$$
(1)

Background and Analysis innovations:

$$d_b = y_o - h\left(\overline{x}_b\right) \approx \varepsilon_o - \varepsilon_b,\tag{2}$$

$$d_a = y_o - h\left(\overline{x}_a\right) \approx \varepsilon_o - \varepsilon_a,\tag{3}$$

- Observation Error:  $\varepsilon_o \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma_o^2\right)$
- Background Error:  $\varepsilon_b \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma_b^2\right)$
- ► Analysis Error:  $\varepsilon_a \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma_a^2\right)$ ;  $\sigma_a^2 \stackrel{\text{Kalman}}{=} \sigma_o^2 \sigma_b^2 / (\sigma_o^2 + \sigma_b^2)$

Initial effort by Anderson (2009). Inflation follows a distribution, estimated recursively following Bayes'

$$p(\lambda|d_b) \propto p(\lambda) \cdot p(d_b|\lambda)$$
. (4)

Prior: 
$$p(\lambda) \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\lambda_b, \sigma_{\lambda_b}^2\right)$$
Gharamti (2018):  $IG\left[\alpha\left(\lambda_b, \sigma_{\lambda,b}^2\right), \beta\left(\lambda_b, \sigma_{\lambda,b}^2\right)\right]$ 

• Likelihood:  $p(d_b|\lambda) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{E}(d_b), var(d_b))$ 

$$\mathbb{E}(d_b) = \mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_o - \varepsilon_b) = 0, \tag{5}$$

$$var(d_b) = \mathbb{E}\left[ \left( d_b - \mathbb{E} \left( d_b \right) \right)^2 \right] = \sigma_o^2 + \sigma_b^2 = \sigma_o^2 + \lambda_s \widehat{\sigma}_b^2 \quad (6)$$

assuming  $\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_o \varepsilon_b) = 0.$ 







Follow similar Bayes' formulation:

$$p(\lambda|d_a) \propto p(\lambda) \cdot p(d_a|\lambda)$$
. (7)

Follow similar Bayes' formulation:

$$p(\lambda|d_a) \propto p(\lambda) \cdot p(d_a|\lambda)$$
. (7)

Need to compute the likelihood,  $p(d_a|\lambda) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{E}(d_a), var(d_a))$ For each observation j:

$$\mathbb{E} (d_{a,j}) = \mathbb{E} (\varepsilon_{o,j}) - \mathbb{E} (\varepsilon_{a,j}) = 0, \qquad (8)$$

$$var (d_{a,j}) = \mathbb{E} (\varepsilon_{o,j}^{2}) + \mathbb{E} (\varepsilon_{a,j}^{2}) - 2 \underbrace{\mathbb{E} (\varepsilon_{o,j} \varepsilon_{a,j})}_{\neq 0 \text{ correlated errors}}, \qquad (8)$$

$$= \sigma_{o,j}^{2} + \sigma_{a,j}^{2} - 2\mathbb{E} [(1 - k_{j}) \varepsilon_{o,j} \varepsilon_{a,j-1} + k_{j} \varepsilon_{o,j}^{2}], \qquad \dots$$

$$= \sigma_{o,j}^{2} - \sigma_{a,j}^{2} \equiv \sigma_{o,j}^{2} - \lambda_{s} \widehat{\sigma}_{a,j}^{2} \qquad (9)$$

Follow similar Bayes' formulation:

$$p(\lambda|d_a) \propto p(\lambda) \cdot p(d_a|\lambda)$$
. (7)

Need to compute the likelihood,  $p(d_a|\lambda) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{E}(d_a), var(d_a))$ For each observation *j*:

$$\mathbb{E} (d_{a,j}) = \mathbb{E} (\varepsilon_{o,j}) - \mathbb{E} (\varepsilon_{a,j}) = 0, \qquad (8)$$

$$var (d_{a,j}) = \mathbb{E} (\varepsilon_{o,j}^{2}) + \mathbb{E} (\varepsilon_{a,j}^{2}) - 2 \underbrace{\mathbb{E} (\varepsilon_{o,j} \varepsilon_{a,j})}_{\neq 0 \text{ correlated errors}}, \qquad (8)$$

$$= \sigma_{o,j}^{2} + \sigma_{a,j}^{2} - 2\mathbb{E} [(1 - k_{j}) \varepsilon_{o,j} \varepsilon_{a,j-1} + k_{j} \varepsilon_{o,j}^{2}], \qquad \dots$$

$$= \sigma_{o,j}^{2} - \sigma_{a,j}^{2} \equiv \sigma_{o,j}^{2} - \lambda_{s} \widehat{\sigma}_{a,j}^{2} \qquad (9)$$
thus,  $p (d_{a}|\lambda) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} d_{a}^{2} (\sigma_{o}^{2} - \lambda_{s} \widehat{\sigma}_{a}^{2})^{-1}\right] (\sigma_{o}^{2} + \lambda_{s} \widehat{\sigma}_{a}^{2})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ 

First, update the state using all observations. For each observation j:

First, update the state using all observations. For each observation j:

1. Remove its impact from both the analysis mean and variance

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_{a,j}^2 = \left(\sigma_{a,j}^{-2} - \sigma_{o,j}^{-2}\right)^{-1}$$
, (10)

$$\widetilde{y}_{a,j} = \widetilde{\sigma}_{a,j}^2 \left( y_{a,j} \sigma_{a,j}^{-2} - y_{o,j} \sigma_{o,j}^{-2} \right).$$
(11)

The posterior value of observation j is:  $y_{a,j} = h_j(\overline{x}_a)$ 

First, update the state using all observations. For each observation j:

1. Remove its impact from both the analysis mean and variance

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_{a,j}^2 = \left(\sigma_{a,j}^{-2} - \sigma_{o,j}^{-2}\right)^{-1}$$
, (10)

$$\widetilde{y}_{a,j} = \widetilde{\sigma}_{a,j}^2 \left( y_{a,j} \sigma_{a,j}^{-2} - y_{o,j} \sigma_{o,j}^{-2} \right).$$
(11)

The posterior value of observation j is:  $y_{a,j} = h_j(\overline{x}_a)$ 

2. New innovation:  $\widetilde{d}_{a,j} = y_{o,j} - \widetilde{y}_{a,j} = \varepsilon_{o,j} - \widetilde{\varepsilon}_{a,j}$ , where  $\widetilde{\varepsilon}_{a,j} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \widetilde{\sigma}_{a,j}^2\right)$ 

First, update the state using all observations. For each observation j:

 $1. \ \mbox{Remove its impact from both the analysis mean and variance}$ 

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_{a,j}^2 = \left(\sigma_{a,j}^{-2} - \sigma_{o,j}^{-2}\right)^{-1}$$
, (10)

$$\widetilde{y}_{a,j} = \widetilde{\sigma}_{a,j}^2 \left( y_{a,j} \sigma_{a,j}^{-2} - y_{o,j} \sigma_{o,j}^{-2} \right).$$
(11)

The posterior value of observation j is:  $y_{a,j} = h_j(\overline{x}_a)$ 

- 2. New innovation:  $\widetilde{d}_{a,j} = y_{o,j} \widetilde{y}_{a,j} = \varepsilon_{o,j} \widetilde{\varepsilon}_{a,j}$ , where  $\widetilde{\varepsilon}_{a,j} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \widetilde{\sigma}_{a,j}^2\right)$
- 3. Construct the Gaussian inflation likelihood function with moments:

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\widetilde{d}_{a,j}\right) = 0,\tag{12}$$

$$var\left(\tilde{d}_{a,j}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(\varepsilon_{o,j}^{2}\right) + \mathbb{E}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}_{a,j}^{2}\right) - 2\underbrace{\mathbb{E}\left(\varepsilon_{o,j}\tilde{\varepsilon}_{a,j}\right)}_{=0} = \sigma_{o,j}^{2} + \tilde{\sigma}_{a,j}^{2}.$$
(13)

First, update the state using all observations. For each observation j:

1. Remove its impact from both the analysis mean and variance

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_{a,j}^2 = \left(\sigma_{a,j}^{-2} - \sigma_{o,j}^{-2}\right)^{-1}$$
, (10)

$$\widetilde{y}_{a,j} = \widetilde{\sigma}_{a,j}^2 \left( y_{a,j} \sigma_{a,j}^{-2} - y_{o,j} \sigma_{o,j}^{-2} \right).$$
(11)

The posterior value of observation j is:  $y_{a,j} = h_j(\overline{x}_a)$ 

- 2. New innovation:  $\widetilde{d}_{a,j} = y_{o,j} \widetilde{y}_{a,j} = \varepsilon_{o,j} \widetilde{\varepsilon}_{a,j}$ , where  $\widetilde{\varepsilon}_{a,j} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \widetilde{\sigma}_{a,j}^2\right)$
- 3. Construct the Gaussian inflation likelihood function with moments:

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\tilde{d}_{a,j}\right) = 0,\tag{12}$$

$$var\left(\tilde{d}_{a,j}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(\varepsilon_{o,j}^{2}\right) + \mathbb{E}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}_{a,j}^{2}\right) - 2\underbrace{\mathbb{E}\left(\varepsilon_{o,j}\tilde{\varepsilon}_{a,j}\right)}_{=0} = \sigma_{o,j}^{2} + \tilde{\sigma}_{a,j}^{2}.$$
(13)

4. Update  $\lambda$  and its variance using exactly the same procedure as AI-b

First, update the state using all observations. For each observation j:

 $1. \ \mbox{Remove its impact from both the analysis mean and variance}$ 

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_{a,j}^2 = \left(\sigma_{a,j}^{-2} - \sigma_{o,j}^{-2}\right)^{-1}$$
, (10)

$$\widetilde{y}_{a,j} = \widetilde{\sigma}_{a,j}^2 \left( y_{a,j} \sigma_{a,j}^{-2} - y_{o,j} \sigma_{o,j}^{-2} \right).$$
(11)

The posterior value of observation j is:  $y_{a,j} = h_j(\overline{x}_a)$ 

- 2. New innovation:  $\widetilde{d}_{a,j} = y_{o,j} \widetilde{y}_{a,j} = \varepsilon_{o,j} \widetilde{\varepsilon}_{a,j}$ , where  $\widetilde{\varepsilon}_{a,j} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \widetilde{\sigma}_{a,j}^2\right)$
- 3. Construct the Gaussian inflation likelihood function with moments:

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\widetilde{d}_{a,j}\right) = 0,\tag{12}$$

$$var\left(\tilde{d}_{a,j}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(\varepsilon_{o,j}^{2}\right) + \mathbb{E}\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}_{a,j}^{2}\right) - 2\underbrace{\mathbb{E}\left(\varepsilon_{o,j}\tilde{\varepsilon}_{a,j}\right)}_{=0} = \sigma_{o,j}^{2} + \tilde{\sigma}_{a,j}^{2}.$$
(13)

4. Update  $\lambda$  and its variance using exactly the same procedure as AI-b

\* Requires additional evaluation of eqs. (10) and (11) \* Less invasive to available adaptive prior inflation code





- Ideal state and observation statistics follow a circle
- ensemble ones satisfy an ellipse
- eccentricity, 0 < e < 1, a measure to determine the deviation from circle





- ensemble ones satisfy an ellipse
- eccentricity, 0 < e < 1, a measure to determine the deviation from circle





- Ideal state and observation statistics follow a circle
- ensemble ones satisfy an ellipse
- eccentricity, 0 < e < 1, a measure to determine the deviation from circle



- Ideal and ensemble-based statistics follow hyperbolas
- λ determines the degree of expansion or contraction of the hyperbola
- e > 1 also a measure of deviation

## **2.5 Algorithmic Features**

 Being based on the posterior innovations, the proposed posterior inflation algorithm increases the variance proportional to the size of the innovation



# **2.5 Algorithmic Features**

 Being based on the posterior innovations, the proposed posterior inflation algorithm increases the variance proportional to the size of the innovation



2. Consistent MSE and VAR

## **2.5 Algorithmic Features**

 Being based on the posterior innovations, the proposed posterior inflation algorithm increases the variance proportional to the size of the innovation



Content

2. Consistent MSE and VAR

3. Information Content:  $\frac{\partial \overline{x}_a}{\partial y_o}$ Al-b > Al-a

# 2.6 Lorenz 63: OSSE example









### 3.1 CAM (The Community Atmosphere Model)

- version: CESM2\_0\_beta05
- resolution: 1.9° × 1.9° FV core; LAT: 96, LON: 144, LEV: 26
- State variables: surface pressure (PS), sensible temperature (T), wind components (U and V), specific humidity (Q), cloud liquid water (CLDLIQ) and cloud ice (CLDICE).

# 3.1 CAM (The Community Atmosphere Model)

- version: CESM2\_0\_beta05
- resolution: 1.9° × 1.9° FV core; LAT: 96, LON: 144, LEV: 26
- State variables: surface pressure (PS), sensible temperature (T), wind components (U and V), specific humidity (Q), cloud liquid water (CLDLIQ) and cloud ice (CLDICE).
- single state spinup, 80 members ensemble initialization
- DA (EAKF) between 08.16.2010 to 09.30.2010
- data available every 6 hours: wind and temperature observations from radiosondes, ACARS and aircraft along with GPS radio occultation



# 3.1 CAM (The Community Atmosphere Model)

- version: CESM2\_0\_beta05
- resolution: 1.9° × 1.9° FV core; LAT: 96, LON: 144, LEV: 26
- State variables: surface pressure (PS), sensible temperature (T), wind components (U and V), specific humidity (Q), cloud liquid water (CLDLIQ) and cloud ice (CLDICE).
- single state spinup, 80 members ensemble initialization
- DA (EAKF) between 08.16.2010 to 09.30.2010
- data available every 6 hours: wind and temperature observations from radiosondes, ACARS and aircraft along with GPS radio occultation



- ► Horizontal localization cutoff: 0.15 radians (≈ 960 km)
- Vertical localization: half-width of Gaspari Cohn profile is 0.375 scale heights
- DART: latest 'Manhattan' release

### 3.2 Assimilation Results: AI-a vs. RTPS



RMSE(AI-a) - RMSE (best tuned RTPS)

red (negative difference) means AI-a is more accurate

# 3.3 Assimilation Results

**Bias Treatment** 

- Radiosonde humidity (Q) is not assimilated, only evaluated for verification
- Largest biases are near the surface
- Al-b is more effective than Al-a at reducing the bias
- Best performance is suggested by AI-ab (both prior and posterior are adaptively inflated)



### 3.3 Assimilation Results

#### Bias Treatment cont.

















Lonaitude

latitude



ACARS: T (6732 locations)



Al-ab, Average bias: 0.08 K

 $\times 10^4$ 

10



# **3.4 Assimilation Results**

#### Increments & Spread

- T increments at ~ 697 hPa and average ensemble spread
- Major updates happen in the southern and northern extratropics
- Strong cooling at low latitudes; given CAM4's warming bias
- Al-a suggests smallest increments and spread (less information content compared to Al-b and Al-ab)













#### **3.5 Assimilation Results** Inflation Fields

- Average inflation maps (panels A, D, E and F) and the time-correlation between Al-ab inflation and those of Al-b (panel B) and Al-a (panel C)
- $\sqrt{\lambda_{ab}^{b}}$  (prior inflation of Al-ab) and  $\sqrt{\lambda_{ab}^{a}}$  (posterior inflation of Al-ab) are highly correlated with  $\sqrt{\lambda_{b}}$  (prior inflation of Al-b) and  $\sqrt{\lambda_{a}}$ (posterior inflation of Al-a), respectively
- Arctic and the Antarctic Circles experience a 20% deflation which could be attributed to the sparsity of observations













### 4. Conclusion

- Proposed a spatially and temporally varying adaptive posterior covariance inflation (AI-a)
- The algorithm is based on Bayes' and uses analysis innovations to update the inflation
- With no model errors, Al-a resulted in higher quality estimates than Al-b (better treatment of sampling errors)
- When model errors are dominant, as in CAM4, AI-a was found less useful
- Compelling results obtained by combining both AI-b and AI-a

### 4. Conclusion

- Proposed a spatially and temporally varying adaptive posterior covariance inflation (Al-a)
- The algorithm is based on Bayes' and uses analysis innovations to update the inflation
- With no model errors, Al-a resulted in higher quality estimates than Al-b (better treatment of sampling errors)
- When model errors are dominant, as in CAM4, AI-a was found less useful
- Compelling results obtained by combining both AI-b and AI-a
- Gharamti, M. E. "Enhanced Adaptive Inflation Algorithm for Ensemble Filters." *Monthly Weather Review*, 2, 623-640
- II. Gharamti, M. E., Raeder, K., Anderson, J. and Wang, X. "Comparing Adaptive Prior and Posterior Inflation for Ensemble Filters Using an Atmospheric General Circulation Model." *Monthly Weather Review*, to appear