Representing Precipitating Convection in the 10-km-grid Grey Zone: The Organized Convection Issue



Mitchell W. Moncrieff Cloud Systems Group, MMM Earth Sun Systems Laboratory, NCAR

IMAGe Theme of the Year Workshop II: Multi-Scale Interactions in a GCM Grid Box: Mathematical Theory, Numerics and Parameterization, NCAR, Oct. 31-Nov. 4, 2005

The complete convection problem



Next-generation global NWP models will have 10km grid spacing

- Parameterized convection and explicit convection may occur simultaneously
- Parameterization is ill-conditioned, explicit convection is under-resolved
- Dynamical structure, scale-selection, transport, scale-interaction are all compromised
- Positive factors, but pitfalls too, for NWP
- Next in line are seasonal prediction models and, ultimately, climate models

A sobering fact:

Physical resolution is 7-10 x grid spacing

... important implications for convection where fastest growth rates are on small scales

... mesoscale organization of convection may be surrogate at 10km-grid spacing

... however, even under- resolved explicit convection is a vast improvement over convective parameterization

The under-resolved dynamics issue



Traditional convective parameterization based on scale separation



Cloud-system resolving models (CRM) at ~100 m grid-resolution





Provided by T. Enemoto, Earth Simulator



Provided by T. Enemoto, Earth Simulator



Provided by T. Enemoto, Earth Simulator

T1279 L96 simulation on Japan's Earth Simulator:

(15-km horizontal, 500 m vertical)



Ohfuchi et al. (2004)



Control: Wind shear



Forcing: CAPE generation



Response: Convective regimes



Feedback: Thermodynamic, radiative & dynamical tendencies



Macrophysics and convective organization



A 'field-theory' for atmospheric convection is the ultimate need



Organized traveling convection of the mesoscale convective system (MCS) kind

Conceptual MCS



Large-scale convective organization



... correlated with shear zones & steep orography

Coma



Convective precipitation: a scaling law?



Convective-stratiform interaction



Lafore & Moncrieff (1989)

Physics of upscale evolution



Steady, finite-amplitude convective overturning

Lagrangian conservation properties

Fundamental Theorem of Calculus applied to the total derivative:

$$\frac{D}{Dt} \int_{z_0}^z F(\boldsymbol{\psi}, z') dz' = \frac{Dz}{Dt} F(\boldsymbol{\psi}, z) - \frac{Dz_0}{Dt} F(\boldsymbol{\psi}, z_0)$$
$$= wF(\boldsymbol{\psi}, z) - w_0 F(\boldsymbol{\psi}, z_0)$$
$$= wF(\boldsymbol{\psi}, z)$$

Conserved quantities for 2D moist convection

$$\frac{D\eta}{Dt} = -g \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} = -g \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} (\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}) = w \frac{\partial F}{\partial \psi} = \frac{D}{Dt} \int_{z_0}^{z} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \psi} \right)_{z'} dz'$$

$$\frac{D\phi_p}{Dt} = \dot{Q} = \psi \Gamma = \frac{D}{Dt} \int_{z_0}^{z} \Gamma_p dz , \text{ where } \phi = c_p \ln \theta \text{ and}$$

$$\Gamma_p = moist \text{ adiabat}$$

Conserved quantities:

$$\eta = G_1(\psi) + \int_{z_0}^{z} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \psi}\right)_{z'} dz' - vorticity$$
$$\phi_p = G_2(\psi) + \int_{z_0}^{z} \Gamma dz - entropy$$

Generalized nonlinear eigen-value / freeboundary theory of organized convection



Formally represented by Moncrieff & Green's equation:

$$\nabla^2 \psi = G(\psi) +$$

vorticity along trajectories

 $\int_{z_0}^{z} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \psi} \right) dz$ vorticity generated by
latent heating

 $F(\psi, z, c)$: parcel buoyancy

A complete analytic solution for unsheared environments



$$\begin{split} \psi(x,z) &= \frac{Uz}{H}(z-H) + \frac{8UH}{\pi^3} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)^3} \sin[(2n+1)\frac{\pi z}{H} \exp[-(2n+1)\frac{\pi |x|}{H}] \\ & L\overline{w}(z) = 2Uz \left(1 - \frac{2Uz}{H}\right) \end{split}$$

What's what the simplest possible (archetypal) model of steady traveling convection in <u>shear</u>?



Two-branch steady model

Convective overturning in constant shear (A):

$$\psi = A (z_0 - z_*)^2 \quad \text{inflow} \quad (z_0 < z_*)$$

$$\psi = A \beta^{-2} (z_1 - z_*)^2 \quad \text{outflow} \quad (z_* < z_1)$$

$$z_* = \beta H / (1 + \beta)$$

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \sqrt{1 + 4Ri})$$

$$Ri = \frac{CAPE}{\frac{1}{2}U_0^2} \quad Convective Richardson number$$
$$CAPE > 0 \quad so \quad 1 < \beta^2 = \frac{outflow shear}{inflow shear}$$





...free-boundary solution tilts downshear - twobranch model is physically unrealistic



Showers in polar outbreaks over oceans triggered by cellular organization in the boundary layer



MCS-like convective organization: two key dimensionless quantities

Convective Richardson number:



Bernoulli number:

$$\mathsf{E} = \frac{\Delta \mathsf{p}}{\frac{1}{2}\,\mathsf{\rho}\mathsf{c}^2}$$

Density-current-like (hydraulic) behavior

Formal definition of convective organization

- Integrating the horizontal momentum equation along trajectories gives a dimensionless quantity D, the ratio of the eddy Reynolds number to dynamical quantities
- Organized convection characterized by D << 1

$$\frac{u_c}{u_{\psi}}(x,t) = 1 + \int_{\psi} \left[\left(\frac{K_E}{u_{\psi}L} \right) \left(\frac{L}{u_c} \right) \nabla^2 u_c - \left(\frac{u_{\psi}}{u_c} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{p}{\rho u_{\psi}^2} \right] dx$$
$$D = \frac{\frac{K_E}{UL}}{\frac{\Delta p}{\rho u_{\psi}^2} \left(\frac{H}{L} \right)^2}$$

 u_c and u_w --- in-cloud & inflow horizontal velocities, respectively

The simplest possible three-branch set of solutions: The archetypal regimes



The simplest possible three-branch set of solutions: The archetypal regimes



Free-boundary solution for E = 0



Moncrieff (1992)

Vertical transport of horizontal momentum



Conceptualization of momentum transport



Validation against numerical simulation









Wu and Moncrieff (1996)

Shear generation from a resting initial state

Mesoscale convective systems generate shear -- redistribute horizontal momentum in the vertical: a positive dynamical feedback recalling that MCS live on shear flow





Grabowski & Moncrieff (2001)

Traveling organized convection over the continental US





~1000 km

Amplitude of diurnal cycle:



Phase of diurnal cycle: getting it right means getting traveling convective systems right ...



MM5 incorporating NCEP MRF boundary layer & surface exchange schemes, Noah LSM, GSFC microphysics, Betts-Miller convective parameterization, 40-km ETA model analysis for lateral boundary conditions and large-scale forcing. Simulate 3-10 July 2003 at 3-km, 10-km, 30-km, 60-km grid-resolution



Moncrieff and Liu (2005)

Precipitation: 3-km grid resolution



Meridionally averaged rain-rate



Parameterized vs. explicit precipitation





Under-resolution distorts airflow

Ä=10 km

 $\ddot{A} = 30 \text{ km}$

Momentum transport:

mesoscale

convective

 $\ddot{A} = 30 \text{ km}$

Resolution dependence of convective heating

Parameterizing convective organization

Organized convection: a parameterization challenge

Ordinary

Organized

Upscale evolution

Simple parameterization of stratiform heating / mesoscale evaporation

 $\dot{Q}_{m}(p,t) = \alpha_{1} \dot{Q}_{c}(t) \sin \partial \frac{p - p_{s}}{p_{s} - p_{*}} \qquad p_{*} \leq p \leq p_{s}$ $\dot{Q}_{m}(p,t) = \alpha_{2} \dot{Q}_{c}(t) \sin \partial \frac{p_{s} - p_{*}}{p_{*} - p_{t}} \qquad p_{t} \leq p \leq p_{*}$

 Q_c = parameterized *convective* heating

Moncrieff (1992), Johnson (1993), Betts (1997) $\ddot{A} = 60 \text{ km}$

Parameterization

Parameterization + mesoscale heating

Parameterization + mesoscale heating + grid-scale heating

Decomposition of convective tendency

$$\frac{\delta\phi}{\delta t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Big[(M_c - \varepsilon_c \ \overline{M}) \ (\overline{\phi} - \overline{\phi}_c) \Big] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Big[(M_m - \varepsilon_m \ \overline{M}) \ (\overline{\phi} - \overline{\phi}_m) \Big]$$

 M_c , M_m and \overline{M} are convective-scale, mesoscale and resolved-scale mass fluxes; ε_c and ε_m are the fractional areas of convectivescale and mesoscale updrafts, respectively.

For organized convection $\varepsilon_c \ll \varepsilon_m$ and $\varepsilon_m = 1$, approximately.

So the grid-scale term in the mesoscale parameterization is equivalent to $(\overline{\phi} - \overline{\phi}^{m}) \frac{\partial \overline{M}}{\partial z}$

Cheng & Yanai (1989)

Effect of mesoscale parameterization on convective heating

Remarkably similar results for tropical super-clusters suggests a degree of universality ...

Superclusters resemble huge mesoscale convective systems (in a GCM)

Moncrieff and Klinker (1997)

Total, parameterized (under-resolved) grid-scale tendencies of predicted superclusters

Parameterized

Grid-scale

Momentum

Temperature

MCS-like dynamical structure is remarkably ubiquitous: widely seen in large-domain explicit convection simulations and 'super-parameterized' simulations

To some degree this evinces physical aliasing ('surrogacy')

Rain-rate spectra: Observed and simulated

Moncrieff, Liu and Hsu (2005)

Summary

- Universal convective organization ubiquitous in many regions of the world
- Dynamical models of MCS- like organization have stood the test of time, new application -- represent organized convection structures in modern explicit models
- At ~10-km grid-resolution, the representation of convection is markedly different from conventional parameterization
- Mesoscale downdrafts represented by a simple parameterization, promtes propagation, work continues
- Under-resolved explicit circulations have practical advantages, care is needed but points to ways forward
- Resuts for the U.S. continent apply to other regions of the world where steep orography, organized convection and shear-flow exist
- Convective parameterization is being redefined, should help break the vexing bottleneck in convective parameterization – dynamics are to the fore