An Introduction to Nonlinear Principal Component Analysis

Adam Monahan

monahana@uvic.ca

School of Earth and Ocean Sciences University of Victoria



An Introduction toNonlinearPrincipal Component Analysis – p. 1/33





- Dimensionality reduction
- Principal Component Analysis



- Dimensionality reduction
- Principal Component Analysis
- Nonlinear PCA



- Dimensionality reduction
- Principal Component Analysis
- Nonlinear PCA
 - theory



- Dimensionality reduction
- Principal Component Analysis
- Nonlinear PCA
 - theory
 - implementation



- Dimensionality reduction
- Principal Component Analysis
- Nonlinear PCA
 - theory
 - implementation
- Applications of NLPCA



- Dimensionality reduction
- Principal Component Analysis
- Nonlinear PCA
 - theory
 - implementation
- Applications of NLPCA
 - Lorenz attractor



- Dimensionality reduction
- Principal Component Analysis
- Nonlinear PCA
 - theory
 - implementation
- Applications of NLPCA
 - Lorenz attractor
 - NH Tropospheric LFV



- Dimensionality reduction
- Principal Component Analysis
- Nonlinear PCA
 - theory
 - implementation
- Applications of NLPCA
 - Lorenz attractor
 - NH Tropospheric LFV
- Conclusions



Climate datasets made up of time series at individual stations/geographical locations



- Climate datasets made up of time series at individual stations/geographical locations
- Typical dataset has $P \sim O(10^3)$ time series



- Climate datasets made up of time series at individual stations/geographical locations
- Typical dataset has $P \sim O(10^3)$ time series
- Organised structure in atmosphere/ocean flows



- Climate datasets made up of time series at individual stations/geographical locations
- Typical dataset has $P \sim O(10^3)$ time series
- Organised structure in atmosphere/ocean flows
- \Rightarrow time series at different locations not independent



- Climate datasets made up of time series at individual stations/geographical locations
- Typical dataset has $P \sim O(10^3)$ time series
- Organised structure in atmosphere/ocean flows
- \Rightarrow time series at different locations not independent
- $\Rightarrow \text{ data does not fill out isotropic cloud of points in } \mathbb{R}^{P}, \\ \text{ but clusters around lower-dimensional surface} \\ \text{ (reflecting the "attractor")}$



- Climate datasets made up of time series at individual stations/geographical locations
- Typical dataset has $P \sim O(10^3)$ time series
- Organised structure in atmosphere/ocean flows
- \Rightarrow time series at different locations not independent
- \Rightarrow data does not fill out isotropic cloud of points in \mathbb{R}^P , but clusters around lower-dimensional surface (reflecting the "attractor")
 - Goal of *dimensionality reduction* in climate diagnostics is to characterise such structures in climate datasets



Realising this goal has both theoretical and practical difficulties:



- Realising this goal has both theoretical and practical difficulties:
- Theoretical:



- Realising this goal has both theoretical and practical difficulties:
- Theoretical:
 - what is the precise definition of "structure"?



- Realising this goal has both theoretical and practical difficulties:
- Theoretical:
 - what is the precise definition of "structure"?
 - how to formulate appropriate statistical model?



- Realising this goal has both theoretical and practical difficulties:
- Theoretical:
 - what is the precise definition of "structure"?
 - how to formulate appropriate statistical model?
- Practical:



- Realising this goal has both theoretical and practical difficulties:
- Theoretical:
 - what is the precise definition of "structure"?
 - how to formulate appropriate statistical model?

Practical:

■ many important observational climate datasets quite short, with O(10) - O(1000) statistical degrees of freedom



- Realising this goal has both theoretical and practical difficulties:
- Theoretical:
 - what is the precise definition of "structure"?
 - how to formulate appropriate statistical model?

Practical:

- many important observational climate datasets quite short, with O(10) O(1000) statistical degrees of freedom
- what degree of "structure" can be robustly diagnosed with existing data?



A classical approach to dimensionality
 principal component analysis (PCA)



- A classical approach to dimensionality
 principal component analysis (PCA)
- Look for *M*-dimensional hyperplane approximation, optimal in least-squares sense

$$\mathbf{X}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{M} \left\langle \mathbf{X}(t), \mathbf{e}_k \right\rangle \mathbf{e}_k + \epsilon(t)$$



- A classical approach to dimensionality
 principal component analysis (PCA)
- Look for *M*-dimensional hyperplane approximation, optimal in least-squares sense

$$\mathbf{X}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{M} \left\langle \mathbf{X}(t), \mathbf{e}_k \right\rangle \mathbf{e}_k + \epsilon(t)$$

• minimising $E\{||\epsilon^2||\}$



- A classical approach to dimensionality
 principal component analysis (PCA)
- Look for *M*-dimensional hyperplane approximation, optimal in least-squares sense

$$\mathbf{X}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{M} \left\langle \mathbf{X}(t), \mathbf{e}_k \right\rangle \mathbf{e}_k + \epsilon(t)$$

minimising E {||e²||}
inner product often (not always) simple dot product



- A classical approach to dimensionality
 principal component analysis (PCA)
- Look for *M*-dimensional hyperplane approximation, optimal in least-squares sense

$$\mathbf{X}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{M} \left\langle \mathbf{X}(t), \mathbf{e}_k \right\rangle \mathbf{e}_k + \epsilon(t)$$

• minimising $E\{||\epsilon^2||\}$

inner product often (not always) simple dot product

Vectors e_k are the empirical orthogonal functions (EOFs)







An Introduction toNonlinearPrincipal Component Analysis - p. 6/33

 Operationally, EOFs are found as eigenvectors of covariance matrix (in appropriate norm)



- Operationally, EOFs are found as eigenvectors of covariance matrix (in appropriate norm)
- PCA optimally efficient characterisation of Gaussian data



- Operationally, EOFs are found as eigenvectors of covariance matrix (in appropriate norm)
- PCA optimally efficient characterisation of Gaussian data
- More generally: PCA provides optimally parsimonious data compression for any dataset whose distribution lies along orthogonal axes



- Operationally, EOFs are found as eigenvectors of covariance matrix (in appropriate norm)
- PCA optimally efficient characterisation of Gaussian data
- More generally: PCA provides optimally parsimonious data compression for any dataset whose distribution lies along orthogonal axes
- But what if the underlying low-dimensional structure is curved rather than straight? (cigars vs. bananas)



Nonlinear Low-Dimensional Structure





Nonlinear PCA

An approach to diagnosing nonlinear low-dimensional structure is Nonlinear PCA (NLPCA)



- An approach to diagnosing nonlinear low-dimensional structure is Nonlinear PCA (NLPCA)
- Goal: find functions (with M < P)

$$\mathbf{s_f}: \mathbf{R}^P \to \mathbf{R}^M \quad , \quad \mathbf{f}: \mathbf{R}^M \to \mathbf{R}^P$$

such that

$$\mathbf{X}(t) = (\mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{s_f}) \left(\mathbf{X}(t) \right) + \epsilon(\mathbf{t})$$

where


- An approach to diagnosing nonlinear low-dimensional structure is Nonlinear PCA (NLPCA)
- Goal: find functions (with M < P)

$$\mathbf{s_f}: \mathbf{R}^P \to \mathbf{R}^M \quad , \quad \mathbf{f}: \mathbf{R}^M \to \mathbf{R}^P$$

such that

$$\mathbf{X}(t) = (\mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{s_f}) \left(\mathbf{X}(t) \right) + \epsilon(\mathbf{t})$$

where

• $E\{||\epsilon^2||\}$ is minimised



- An approach to diagnosing nonlinear low-dimensional structure is Nonlinear PCA (NLPCA)
- Goal: find functions (with M < P)

$$\mathbf{s_f}: \mathbf{R}^P \to \mathbf{R}^M \quad , \quad \mathbf{f}: \mathbf{R}^M \to \mathbf{R}^P$$

such that

$$\mathbf{X}(t) = (\mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{s_f}) \left(\mathbf{X}(t) \right) + \epsilon(\mathbf{t})$$

where

E {||ε²||} is minimised
f(λ) ~ approximation manifold



- An approach to diagnosing nonlinear low-dimensional structure is Nonlinear PCA (NLPCA)
- Goal: find functions (with M < P)

$$\mathbf{s_f}: \mathbf{R}^P \to \mathbf{R}^M \quad , \quad \mathbf{f}: \mathbf{R}^M \to \mathbf{R}^P$$

such that

$$\mathbf{X}(t) = (\mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{f}}) \left(\mathbf{X}(t) \right) + \epsilon(\mathbf{t})$$

where

E {||ε²||} is minimised
 f(λ) ~ approximation manifold
 λ(t) = s_f(X(t)) ~ manifold parameterisation (time series)

Nonlinear PCA





An Introduction toNonlinearPrincipal Component Analysis - p. 10/33

As with PCA, "fraction of variance explained" is a measure of quality of approximation



As with PCA, "fraction of variance explained" is a measure of quality of approximation

PCA is a special case of NLPCA



- As with PCA, "fraction of variance explained" is a measure of quality of approximation
- PCA is a special case of NLPCA
- When implemented, NLPCA should reduce to PCA if:



- As with PCA, "fraction of variance explained" is a measure of quality of approximation
- PCA is a special case of NLPCA
- When implemented, NLPCA should reduce to PCA if:
 - data is Gaussian



- As with PCA, "fraction of variance explained" is a measure of quality of approximation
- PCA is a special case of NLPCA
- When implemented, NLPCA should reduce to PCA if:
 - data is Gaussian
 - not enough data is available to robustly characterise non-Gaussian structure



 Implemented NLPCA using neural networks (convenient, not necessary)



- Implemented NLPCA using neural networks (convenient, not necessary)
- Parameter estimation more difficult than for PCA



- Implemented NLPCA using neural networks (convenient, not necessary)
- Parameter estimation more difficult than for PCA
- PCA model is linear in statistical parameters:

$$\mathbf{Y} = M\mathbf{X}$$

so variational problem has unique analytic solution



- Implemented NLPCA using neural networks (convenient, not necessary)
- Parameter estimation more difficult than for PCA
- PCA model is linear in statistical parameters:

$$\mathbf{Y} = M\mathbf{X}$$

so variational problem has unique analytic solution
NLPCA model nonlinear in model parameters, so solution



- Implemented NLPCA using neural networks (convenient, not necessary)
- Parameter estimation more difficult than for PCA
- PCA model is linear in statistical parameters:

$$\mathbf{Y} = M\mathbf{X}$$

- so variational problem has unique analytic solution
- NLPCA model nonlinear in model parameters, so solution
 - may not be unique



- Implemented NLPCA using neural networks (convenient, not necessary)
- Parameter estimation more difficult than for PCA
- PCA model is linear in statistical parameters:

$$\mathbf{Y} = M\mathbf{X}$$

so variational problem has unique analytic solution

- NLPCA model nonlinear in model parameters, so solution
 - may not be unique
 - must be found through numerical minimisation



• Two fundamental issues regarding parameter estimation common to *all* statistical models:



 Two fundamental issues regarding parameter estimation common to *all* statistical models:
 Reproducibility



• Two fundamental issues regarding parameter estimation common to *all* statistical models:

Reproducibility

model must be robust to the introduction of new data



• Two fundamental issues regarding parameter estimation common to *all* statistical models:

Reproducibility

- model must be robust to the introduction of new data
- new observations shouldn't fundamentally change model



• Two fundamental issues regarding parameter estimation common to *all* statistical models:

Reproducibility

- model must be robust to the introduction of new data
- new observations shouldn't fundamentally change model

Classifiability:



• Two fundamental issues regarding parameter estimation common to *all* statistical models:

Reproducibility

- model must be robust to the introduction of new data
- new observations shouldn't fundamentally change model

Classifiability:

model must be robust to details of optimisation procedure



• Two fundamental issues regarding parameter estimation common to *all* statistical models:

Reproducibility

- model must be robust to the introduction of new data
- new observations shouldn't fundamentally change model

Classifiability:

- model must be robust to details of optimisation procedure
- model shouldn't depend on initial parameter values



NLPCA: Synthetic Gaussian Data





Synthetic Gaussian data

An Introduction toNonlinearPrincipal Component Analysis – p. 14/33



An Introduction toNonlinearPrincipal Component Analysis – p. 15/33



1D PCA approximation (60%)

An Introduction toNonlinearPrincipal Component Analysis – p. 16/33



1D NLPCA approximation (76%)





2D PCA approximation (94%)

An Introduction toNonlinearPrincipal Component Analysis – p. 18/33



2D NLPCA approximation (97%)





10-day lowpass-filtered 500 hPa geopotential height EOFs





1D NLPCA Approximation: spatial structure (PCA: 14.8%; NLPCA 18.4%)





UVic 1D NLPCA Approximation: pdf of time series

An Introduction toNonlinearPrincipal Component Analysis – p. 22/33



1D NLPCA Approximation: regime maps



An Introduction toNonlinearPrincipal Component Analysis – p. 23/33



UVic 1D NLPCA Approximation: interannual variability

NLPCA: Limitations and Drawbacks

Parameter estimation in NLPCA (as in any nonlinear statistical model) must be done very carefully to ensure robust approximation



NLPCA: Limitations and Drawbacks

- Parameter estimation in NLPCA (as in any nonlinear statistical model) must be done very carefully to ensure robust approximation
- \Rightarrow analysis time-consuming, data hungry



NLPCA: Limitations and Drawbacks

- Parameter estimation in NLPCA (as in any nonlinear statistical model) must be done very carefully to ensure robust approximation
- \Rightarrow analysis time-consuming, data hungry
- \Rightarrow insufficiently careful analysis leads to spurious results (e.g. Christiansen, 2005)


- Parameter estimation in NLPCA (as in any nonlinear statistical model) must be done very carefully to ensure robust approximation
- \Rightarrow analysis time-consuming, data hungry
- \Rightarrow insufficiently careful analysis leads to spurious results (e.g. Christiansen, 2005)
 - Theoretical underpinning of NLPCA is weak



- Parameter estimation in NLPCA (as in any nonlinear statistical model) must be done very carefully to ensure robust approximation
- \Rightarrow analysis time-consuming, data hungry
- \Rightarrow insufficiently careful analysis leads to spurious results (e.g. Christiansen, 2005)
 - Theoretical underpinning of NLPCA is weak
- \Rightarrow no "rigorous" theory of sampling variability



- Parameter estimation in NLPCA (as in any nonlinear statistical model) must be done very carefully to ensure robust approximation
- \Rightarrow analysis time-consuming, data hungry
- \Rightarrow insufficiently careful analysis leads to spurious results (e.g. Christiansen, 2005)
 - Theoretical underpinning of NLPCA is weak
- \Rightarrow no "rigorous" theory of sampling variability
 - Information theory may provide new tools with



- Parameter estimation in NLPCA (as in any nonlinear statistical model) must be done very carefully to ensure robust approximation
- \Rightarrow analysis time-consuming, data hungry
- \Rightarrow insufficiently careful analysis leads to spurious results (e.g. Christiansen, 2005)
 - Theoretical underpinning of NLPCA is weak
- \Rightarrow no "rigorous" theory of sampling variability
 - Information theory may provide new tools withbetter sampling properties



- Parameter estimation in NLPCA (as in any nonlinear statistical model) must be done very carefully to ensure robust approximation
- \Rightarrow analysis time-consuming, data hungry
- \Rightarrow insufficiently careful analysis leads to spurious results (e.g. Christiansen, 2005)
 - Theoretical underpinning of NLPCA is weak
- \Rightarrow no "rigorous" theory of sampling variability
 - Information theory may provide new tools with
 - better sampling properties
 - better theoretical basis



Traditional PCA optimal for dimensionality reduction only if data distribution falls along orthogonal axes



- Traditional PCA optimal for dimensionality reduction only if data distribution falls along orthogonal axes
- Can define nonlinear generalisation, NLPCA, which can robustly characterise nonlinear low-dimensional structure in datasets



- Traditional PCA optimal for dimensionality reduction only if data distribution falls along orthogonal axes
- Can define nonlinear generalisation, NLPCA, which can robustly characterise nonlinear low-dimensional structure in datasets
- NLPCA approximations can provide a fundamentally different characterisation of data than PCA approximations



- Traditional PCA optimal for dimensionality reduction only if data distribution falls along orthogonal axes
- Can define nonlinear generalisation, NLPCA, which can robustly characterise nonlinear low-dimensional structure in datasets
- NLPCA approximations can provide a fundamentally different characterisation of data than PCA approximations
- Implementation of NLPCA difficult and lacking in underlying theory; represents a first attempt at a big (and challenging) problem



Acknowledgements

- William Hsieh (UBC)
- Lionel Pandolfo (UBC)
- John Fyfe (CCCma)
- Qiaobin Teng (CCCma)
- Benyang Tang (JPL)



An ensemble approach was taken



- An ensemble approach was taken
- For a large number $N (\sim 50)$ of trials:



- An ensemble approach was taken
- For a large number $N (\sim 50)$ of trials:
 - data was randomly split into *training* and *validation* sets (taking autocorrelation into account)



- An ensemble approach was taken
- For a large number $N (\sim 50)$ of trials:
 - data was randomly split into *training* and *validation* sets (taking autocorrelation into account)
 - a random initial parameter set was selected



- An ensemble approach was taken
- For a large number $N (\sim 50)$ of trials:
 - data was randomly split into *training* and *validation* sets (taking autocorrelation into account)
 - a random initial parameter set was selected
- For each ensemble member, iterative minimisation procedure carried out until either:



- An ensemble approach was taken
- For a large number $N (\sim 50)$ of trials:
 - data was randomly split into *training* and *validation* sets (taking autocorrelation into account)
 - a random initial parameter set was selected
- For each ensemble member, iterative minimisation procedure carried out until either:
 - error over training data stopped changing



- An ensemble approach was taken
- For a large number $N (\sim 50)$ of trials:
 - data was randomly split into *training* and *validation* sets (taking autocorrelation into account)
 - a random initial parameter set was selected
- For each ensemble member, iterative minimisation procedure carried out until either:
 - error over training data stopped changing
 - error over validation data started increasing



- An ensemble approach was taken
- For a large number $N (\sim 50)$ of trials:
 - data was randomly split into *training* and *validation* sets (taking autocorrelation into account)
 - a random initial parameter set was selected
- For each ensemble member, iterative minimisation procedure carried out until either:
 - error over training data stopped changing
 - error over validation data started increasing
- Method does not look for global error minimum



Ensemble member becomes **candidate model** if

$$\left\langle ||\epsilon||^2 \right\rangle_{validation} \leq \left\langle ||\epsilon||^2 \right\rangle_{training}$$



Ensemble member becomes **candidate model** if

$$\left\langle ||\epsilon||^2 \right\rangle_{validation} \leq \left\langle ||\epsilon||^2 \right\rangle_{training}$$



Ensemble member becomes **candidate model** if

$$\left\langle ||\epsilon||^2 \right\rangle_{validation} \leq \left\langle ||\epsilon||^2 \right\rangle_{training}$$

Candidate models comparedif they share same shape and orientation



Ensemble member becomes **candidate model** if

$$\left\langle ||\epsilon||^2 \right\rangle_{validation} \leq \left\langle ||\epsilon||^2 \right\rangle_{training}$$

- Candidate models compared
 - if they share same shape and orientation
 - \Rightarrow approximation is robust



Ensemble member becomes **candidate model** if

$$\left\langle ||\epsilon||^2 \right\rangle_{validation} \leq \left\langle ||\epsilon||^2 \right\rangle_{training}$$

- if they share same shape and orientation
- \Rightarrow approximation is robust
 - if they differ in shape and orientation



Ensemble member becomes candidate model if

$$\left\langle ||\epsilon||^2 \right\rangle_{validation} \leq \left\langle ||\epsilon||^2 \right\rangle_{training}$$

- if they share same shape and orientation
- \Rightarrow approximation is robust
 - if they differ in shape and orientation
- \Rightarrow approximation is not robust



Ensemble member becomes candidate model if

$$\left\langle ||\epsilon||^2 \right\rangle_{validation} \leq \left\langle ||\epsilon||^2 \right\rangle_{training}$$

- if they share same shape and orientation
- \Rightarrow approximation is robust
 - if they differ in shape and orientation
- \Rightarrow approximation is not robust
- If approximation not robust, model simplified & procedure repeated until robust model found



Procedure will ultimately yield PCA solution if no robust non-Gaussian structure present



- Procedure will ultimately yield PCA solution if no robust non-Gaussian structure present
- Such a careful procedure necessary to avoid finding spurious non-Gaussian structure



Applications of NLPCA: Tropical Pacific SST





EOF Patterns

An Introduction toNonlinearPrincipal Component Analysis – p. 31/33

Applications of NLPCA: Tropical Pacific SST





1D NLPCA Approximation: spatial structure

Applications of NLPCA: Tropical Pacific SST





1D NLPCA Approximation: spatial structure