

# Leslie Smith, Problem 2

Sultan Ahmed, David Goluskin, Suraj Harshan, Sarah Kang

22 July 2008

## 1 Problem A

We require two pieces of information: the definition of  $\sigma$  and a piece of the definition of resonance,

$$\sigma_i^\pm = \pm \frac{1}{i} (k_z^2 f^2 + k_h^2 N^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ for } i = k, p, q \quad (1)$$

$$\sigma_k^\pm + \sigma_p^\pm + \sigma_q^\pm = 0, \quad (2)$$

where the latter equation holds for some particular combination of signed terms. Squaring Equation (1),

$$\sigma_i^2 = \left( \frac{k_z^2}{k^2} \right) f^2 + \left( \frac{k_h^2}{k^2} \right) N^2 \text{ for } i = k, p, q. \quad (3)$$

By definition,  $k^2 = k_z^2 + k_h^2$ , so  $\left( \frac{k_z^2}{k^2} \right) + \left( \frac{k_h^2}{k^2} \right) = 1$ , meaning that Equation (3) is a weighted average of  $f$  and  $N$ . Hence,

$$\min(f, N)^2 \leq \sigma_i^2 \leq \max(f, N)^2 \text{ for } i = k, p, q.$$

Since  $f, N \geq 0$ , positive  $\sigma$  values are bounded analogously,

$$\min(f, N) \leq \sigma_i^+ \leq \max(f, N) \text{ for } i = k, p, q. \quad (4)$$

Equation (2) cannot hold if all terms have the same sign; one must have a different sign from the other two. Without loss of generality, suppose  $\sigma_k$  has the unique sign. Then,

$$\sigma_k^+ = \sigma_p^+ + \sigma_q^+.$$

Applying Equation (4) to bound  $\sigma_k$  from above and  $\sigma_p + \sigma_q$  from below yields,

$$\max(f, N) \geq 2 \min(f, N),$$

which implies either  $\frac{N}{f} \geq 2$  or  $\frac{N}{f} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . This result has followed from the assumption (2), which occurs when  $(\vec{k}, \vec{p}, \vec{q})$  is a resonant mode. Conversely, there are no three-wave resonances with  $\frac{1}{2} < \frac{N}{f} < 2$ . ■

## 2 Problem B

Assume  $\vec{u}(x, t) = \sum_k \sum_{s_k} a_{s_k}(t) \Phi_{s_k} e^{i(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x} - \sigma_{s_k} t)}$  and plug it into

$$\vec{u}_t + 2\Omega \times \vec{u} + \vec{\omega} \times \vec{u} = \nabla \tilde{P}$$

where  $\tilde{P} = P - \frac{1}{2} \vec{u} \cdot \vec{u}$  and use  $\vec{\omega} = \nabla \times \vec{u} = -s_k k \vec{u}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_k \sum_{s_k} \left( \frac{\partial a_{s_k}}{\partial t} - \nu \sigma_{s_k} a_{s_k} \right) \Phi_{s_k} e^{i(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x} - \sigma_{s_k} t)} + 2\Omega \sum_k \sum_{s_k} \hat{z} \times a_{s_k} \Phi_{s_k} e^{i(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x} - \sigma_{s_k} t)} + \\ & \left[ \sum_p \sum_{s_p} \left\{ -s_p p a_{s_p} \Phi_{s_p} e^{i(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x} - \sigma_{s_p} t)} \right\} \right] \times \left[ \sum_q \sum_{s_q} \left\{ a_{s_q} \Phi_{s_q} e^{i(\vec{q} \cdot \vec{x} - \sigma_{s_q} t)} \right\} \right] = \\ & - \nu \sum_k \sum_{s_k} \vec{k} \hat{p} e^{i(\vec{q} \cdot \vec{x} - \sigma_{s_q} t)} \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

Multiply (5) by  $e^{-i\vec{l} \cdot \vec{x}}$  and integrate over  $x$ , then only the terms with  $e^{-i\vec{l} \cdot \vec{x}}$  will remain. If  $\vec{l}$  is changed back to  $\vec{k}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{\partial a_{s_k}}{\partial t} - \nu \sigma_{s_k} a_{s_k} \right) \Phi_{s_k} + 2\Omega \hat{z} \times a_{s_k} \Phi_{s_k} + i\vec{k} \hat{P} \\ & = \sum_{k=p+q} \sum_{s_p, s_q} s_p p a_{s_p} a_{s_q} \Phi_{s_p} \times \Phi_{s_q} e^{i(\sigma_{s_k} - \sigma_{s_p} - \sigma_{s_q})t} \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=p+q} \sum_{s_p, s_q} (s_p p - s_q q) a_{s_p} a_{s_q} \Phi_{s_p} \times \Phi_{s_q} e^{i(\sigma_{s_k} - \sigma_{s_p} - \sigma_{s_q})t} \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

Take the inner product of (6) by  $\Phi_{s_k}^*$  and use  $\Phi_{s_k} \cdot \Phi_{s_k}^* = 2$  and  $\vec{k} \cdot \Phi_{s_k}^* = 0$ . Then the fourth term on RHS vanishes, and the second and the third terms cancel out because

$$-\imath\sigma_{s_k} a_{s_k} \Phi_{s_k} \cdot \Phi_{s_k}^* = -2\imath\sigma_{s_k} a_{s_k} = -4\imath\Omega s a_{s_k} \frac{k_z}{k}, \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{z} \times \Phi_{s_k}) \cdot \Phi_{s_k}^* &= \hat{z} \cdot (\Phi_{s_k} \times \Phi_{s_k}^*) \\ &= \hat{z} \cdot \left( \hat{k} \times \hat{\psi} + \imath s \hat{\psi} \right) \times \left( \hat{k} \times \hat{\psi} - \imath s \hat{\psi} \right) \\ &= \hat{z} \cdot \left\{ 2\imath s \hat{\psi} \times \left( \hat{k} \times \hat{\psi} \right) \right\} \\ &= 2\imath s \hat{z} \cdot \left\{ \hat{k} \left( \hat{\psi} \cdot \hat{\psi} \right) - \hat{\psi} \left( \hat{\psi} \cdot \hat{k} \right) \right\} \\ &= 2\imath s \frac{k_z}{k} \end{aligned}$$

since  $\Phi_{s_k} = \hat{k} \times \hat{\psi} + \imath s \hat{\psi}$  where  $\hat{k} = \frac{\vec{k}}{k}$  and  $\hat{\psi} = \frac{\vec{k} \times \hat{z}}{|\vec{k} \times \hat{z}|}$ . Finally (6) becomes

$$C_{\vec{k}\vec{p}\vec{q}}^{s_k s_p s_q} \equiv \frac{\partial a_{s_k}}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=p+q} \sum_{s_p, s_q} (s_p p - s_q q) a_{s_p} a_{s_q} (\Phi_{s_p} \times \Phi_{s_q}) \cdot \Phi_{s_k}^* e^{i(\sigma_{s_k} - \sigma_{s_p} - \sigma_{s_q})t}$$

■