

Spectrally condensed turbulence in two dimensions

Hua Xia¹, Michael Shats¹, Gregory Falkovich²

¹ The Australian National University, Canberra, Australia

²Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel

Acknowledgements: H. Punzmann, D. Byrne



TOY2008: Summer school: Geophysical Turbulence, Boulder, Colorado

Motivation

Turbulence often coexists with coherent flow

2D turbulence is capable of generating such flows spectral condensation, crystallization

Turbulence-condensate interplay – dynamical steady-state energy transfer from turbulence to flows effects of shear flows on turbulence

Practical applications atmospheric and oceanic processes, magnetically confined plasma, etc.

2D turbulence and spectral condensation

Large coherent flows coexist with turbulence



Antarctic Circumpolar Current Average volume transport $\sim 1.5 \ 10^8 \ m^3 s^{-1}$





Earth: atmospheric zonal winds

Planetary atmospheres are dominated by turbulent structures (cyclones, zonal winds, etc)

> Cassini spacecraft Courtesy NASA



Turbulence-driven structures in fusion plasma



2D turbulence



Opposite to 3D, energy flows from smaller to larger scales Basis for self-organization

Structure functions and Kolmogorov law

Label an 'eddy' by a velocity increment δu_l across a distance *r*:



Kolmogorov law

relates the third-order longitudinal structure function of turbulence to the mean energy dissipation per unit mass ε

in 2D (e.g. [Lindborg 1999]):

$$S_{3L}(r) = \left\langle \delta \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{L}}^3 \bigstar \right\rangle = \frac{3}{2} \varepsilon r$$

Statistical moments of this increment are called *structure functions* of the nth order:

$$S_n(r) = \left\langle \mathbf{\mathfrak{G}} u_r \stackrel{\overline{n}}{\underline{}} \right\rangle = \left\langle \mathbf{\mathfrak{K}} \mathbf{\mathfrak{K}} + r \stackrel{\overline{}}{\underline{}} u \mathbf{\mathfrak{K}} \stackrel{\overline{}}{\underline{}} \right\rangle$$



Spectral condensation of 2D turbulence

The maximum of the energy spectrum lies in the low-*k* range, at k_{α} , in the absence of the energy dissipation at large scales k_{α} can not be constant in time since it accumulates spectral energy

 $k_{\alpha} = f$ **\$**,t

Dissipation at large scales (bottom damping) α stabilizes the maximum of the spectrum at the scale

$$k_{\alpha} \approx k^3 / \varepsilon^{1/2}$$

Kraichnan, 1967: predicted condensate

System size < dissipation scale



At low dissipation in a bounded system, at $k_{\alpha} << k_L$ spectral energy accumulates in a box-size coherent structure

Spectral condensation of turbulence in thin layers

Experiments: Sommeria (1986), Paret & Tabeling (1998), Shats et al (2005, 2007)







Numerical simulations of 2D turbulence:

Hossain (1983), Smith & Yakhot (1993)...

van Heijst, Clercx, Molenaar (2004-2006),

Chertkov et al. (2007)

Periodic boundary condition – dipole No-slip boundary – single vortex





Experimental setup



□ Bottom layer: isolator Fluorinert FC-77 (resist. = 2x10¹⁵ Ohm cm; SG = 1.78)

 \Box Top layer: electrolyte NaCl solution (SG = 1.04)

Condensed turbulence spectrum is robust







strong

L = 0.1 m





weak

Nastrom-Gage spectrum of atmospheric winds

Atmospheric spectrum

[Nastrom, Gage, Jasperson, Nature (1984)]



 k^{-3} and $k^{-5/3}$ ranges are present but in the reversed order compared to the Kraichnan theory

$$E \bigstar = C_k \varepsilon^{2/3} k^{-5/3} \quad at \ k < k_f$$
$$E \bigstar = C_\omega \varepsilon_\omega^{2/3} k^{-3} \quad at \ k > k_f$$

What is the origin of

 k^{-3} and $k^{-5/3}$ ranges in atmosphere?

Meso-scale k ^{-5/3} range can be due to
•3D (downscale) direct energy cascade,
•2D inverse (upscale) cascade

Large-scale k⁻³ range can be due to • direct enstrophy cascade (large-scale forcing) • spectral condensation

Kinetic energy spectrum alone cannot resolve the question of the sources

Energy flux in atmospheric turbulence



Third-order velocity moment gives the energy flux direction

$$S_3(r) = \frac{3}{2}\varepsilon r$$

Negative S_3 at scales up to 500 km interpreted as evidence against inverse energy cascade in the mesoscale range

[Cho, Lindborg, J. Geophys. Res. (2001)]

Need to understand spectral flux in the presence of large coherent flow, which may affect higher moments

Condensate – coherent flow – self-generated by turbulence

In the lab can control strength and spectral extent of condensate (?)

Model of the spectrum

velocity V.



In the inverse cascade, the turnover time of the eddy of scale *l* is $t_l = l/\sqrt{S_2} \approx l^{2/3}C^{-1/2}\varepsilon^{-1/3}$

1. Assume that the condensate (vortex) appears when the system size *L* is such that $t_L \alpha < 1$ 2. Characterize the condensate amplitude by its mean

This velocity can be estimated from the energy balance,

 $\alpha V^2 \cong 2\varepsilon$ which gives $V \cong \sqrt{2\varepsilon/\alpha}$

3. We estimate that the condensate related velocity fluctuation on the scale *l* as *Vl/L*. Then we expect the knee of the spectrum to be at the scale l_t defined by

$$Vl_t/L \cong C^{1/2} \mathfrak{sl}_t - \mathcal{T}^{7/3}$$

This gives

$$l_t \approx L^{3/2} \mathbb{C}\alpha / 2 \mathcal{I}^{3/4} \varepsilon^{-1/4}$$

Knee of the spectrum shifts with α and $\textbf{\textit{L}}$



 k_t increases with the decrease in the boundary size L

 k_t increases with the decrease in the damping rate α

In the lab we can control strength, spectral extent of the condensate

Case of weak condensate



Weak condensate case shows small differences with isotropic 2D turbulence ~ $k^{-5/3}$ spectrum in the energy range Kolmogorov law – linear S₃ (r) dependence; Kolmogorov constant C ≈ 5.6 Skewness and flatness are close to their Gaussian values (Sk = 0, F = 3)

Case of stronger condensate



Mean shear flow (condensate) $\delta \overline{V}$ changes all velocity moments:

$$\delta V = \delta V + \delta V$$
$$\left\langle \delta V^2 \right\rangle = \left\langle \delta \overline{V}^2 + 2\delta \overline{V} \delta \widetilde{V} + \delta \widetilde{V}^2 \right\rangle$$
$$\left\langle \delta V^3 \right\rangle = \left\langle \delta \overline{V}^3 - 3\delta \overline{V}^2 \delta \widetilde{V} + 3\delta \overline{V} \delta \widetilde{V}^2 - \delta \widetilde{V}^3 \right\rangle$$

Mean subtraction recovers isotropic turbulence

1.Compute time-average velocity field (*N*=400): $\overline{V}(x, y) = 1/N \sum_{n=1}^{N} V(x, y, t_n)$

2. Subtract $\overline{V}(x, y)$ from *N*=400 instantaneous velocity fields



Recover ~ $k^{-5/3}$ spectrum in the energy range

 S_3 (r) is positive – recovered inverse energy cascade Kolmogorov law – linear S_3 (r) dependence in the "turbulence range"; Kolmogorov constant C \approx 7

Strong condensate: effect of mean subtraction



Flatness is higher than in isotropic turbulence

Similarity with atmospheric turbulence



Mean shear flows present in the atmosphere affect velocity moments, similarly to laboratory experiments [Cho, Lindborg, J. Geophys. Res. (2001)]

Laboratory experiment Stronger condensate, no mean subtraction



Different moments affected in different ranges

Second moment

Large-scale flow is spatially smooth: $\delta V \approx sr$, $\langle \delta V_{-}^2 \rangle \approx s^2 r^2$

Small-scale velocity fluctuations in turbulence

$$\left\langle \delta v \right\rangle^{2} \approx C \delta r \right\rangle^{2/3}$$

Small-scale fluctuations dominate at scales smaller than $l < l_t \approx C^{3/4} s^{-3/2} \varepsilon^{1/2}$

Third moment

Large-scale flow:

$$\langle \delta V^3 \rangle = \langle \delta V \delta v^2 \rangle \approx srC \ \text{sr} C^{\frac{2}{3}}$$

Small-scale fluctuations:

$$\left\langle \mathbf{6} \mathbf{V} \right\rangle^{3} \approx \mathbf{\varepsilon} \mathbf{r}$$

Large-scale flow dominates 3rd moment in a range to much smaller scales:

$$l_* \approx C^{-3/2} s^{-3/2} \varepsilon^{1/2}$$
 , since C > 1

Condensate imposes different scales on different moments

The strongest condensate can suppress turbulence

(a) Self-generated condensate(b) Externally imposed flow



[M.G. Shats, H. Xia, H. Punzmann and G. Falkovich (2007)]

Sweeping of the forcing scale



Sweeping due to mean flow

Imposed flow affects scales down to the forcing scale

Mean flow sweeps forcing scale vortices relative to magnets

Sweeping

becomes important when

sweeping parameter

$$sw = \omega_{sw}\tau_e = \frac{V_\theta}{\sqrt{S_2}} > 1$$

Eddy life time

$$\tau_{e} = l / \sqrt{\left\langle \left| \delta u^{2}(l) \right| \right\rangle}$$

Sweeping is more efficient on small scales:

$$sw = \omega_{sw} \tau_e = \frac{V_{\theta}}{S_1} \propto l^{-1/3}$$

Summary

- Spectral condensation leads to the generation of mean flow coherent across the system size
- Spectrum of condensed turbulence shows 3 power laws:

~ k^{-3} at large scales; $k^{-5/3}$ in the meso-scales, $k^{-(3-4)}$ at small scales

- Condensate modifies statistical moments of velocity fluctuations
- Different moments are affected in different ranges of scales
- Velocity moments of condensed turbulence similar to those in the atmosphere
- Coherent shear flow suppresses turbulence which generates it via shearing and sweeping