

... for a brighter future

Implicit-Explicit Time Stepping Methods for Multiphysics Problems

Emil Constantinescu* and Adrian Sandu+



UChicago ► Argonne_{uc}

A U.S. Department of Energy laboratory managed by UChicago Argonne, LLC *Argonne National Laboratory, Math and Computer Science *Virginia Tech, Department of Computer Science

The Institute for Mathematics Applied to Geosciences Theme for 2009: The Interaction of Simulation and Numerical Models August 18-20, 2009; Boulder, CO

Outline: Implicit-Explicit Time Stepping Methods for Multiphysics Problems

- The need for high-order methods
- IMplicit-EXplicit (IMEX) time stepping for multiphysics problems
- Extend classical extrapolation methods to extrapolated IMEX
- Introduce three new very high-order IMEX methods for ODEs,
 DAEs, and PDEs
- Analyze linear stability and consistency
- Implementation considerations for multicore architectures



The need for high-order time stepping

- The order of convergence & stability play an important role in efficiency
- The focus is placed on high-order methods with large stability regions
- Representation of normalized asymptotic convergence rates:





Problems with processes that can be informally categorized according to their dynamics into fast (stiff) and slow (nonstiff)

• Problem:
$$y'(t) = F(t, y), \ F(t, y) = f(t, y) + g(t, y), \ t \ge t_0, \ y(t_0) = y_0$$

• Additive partition:
$$y'(t) = f(t, y(t)) + g(t, y(t)), t > 0, y(t_0) = y_0$$

 $\frac{\partial y}{\partial t} = -u\nabla y + \frac{1}{\rho}\nabla(\rho K \nabla y) + \frac{1}{\rho}C(\rho y)$ (advection-diffusion-reaction)
nonstiff (slow) component stiff (fast) component
time step larger than characteristic time

- Explicit methods are effective for slow processes b/c of low cost
- Implicit schemes are more efficient for fast processes b/c of stability considerations
- IMEX are more efficient for problems with both stiff and nonstiff components



IMEX methods for differential equations with stiff and nonstiff components

$$y'(t) = f(t, y(t)) + g(t, y(t)), t > 0, y(t_0) = y_0$$

Multistage (Runge-Kutta) IMEX: difficult to construct

$$Y_{i} = y_{n-1} + \Delta t \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} f(Y_{j}) + \Delta t \sum_{j=1}^{s} \widehat{a}_{ij} g(Y_{j})$$
$$y_{n} = y_{n-1} + \Delta t \sum_{j=1}^{s} b_{j} f(Y_{j}) + \Delta t \sum_{j=1}^{s} \widehat{b}_{j} g(Y_{j})$$

- Ascher-Ruuth-Spiteri (ARS) [1997]
- Pareschi-Russo (PR) [2000]
- Kennedy-Carpenter (ARK) [2003]
- Linear multistep IMEX: stability restrictions

$$y_n = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j y_{n-j} + \Delta t \sum_{j=1}^k b_j f(y_{n-j}) + \Delta t \sum_{j=0}^k \widehat{b}_j g(y_{n-j})$$

Ascher-Ruuth-Spiteri [1995]

Hundsdorfer-Ruuth (IMEX-BFD) [2007]







High-order IMEX Runge-Kutta methods are very difficult to construct

Fifth order IMEX $\frac{41}{100}$ $\frac{41}{100}$ 11292855782101 Runge-Kutta method 7196633302097 $\frac{1029933939417}{13636558850479}$ with embedded fourth -5405<u>3170</u>152839 $\frac{92}{100}$ order -18461159152457 $\frac{24}{100}$ -281667163811 $\mathbf{0}$ 13134317526959 2942455364971 -38145345988419 4862620318723 $\frac{-1}{8}$ $\frac{-1}{8}$ -19977161125411 -40795976796054-69563011059811 -872700587467 -1143369518992-39379526789629 $\frac{41}{200}$ b_i -975461918565 9796059967033 -33438840321285 -548382580838 ĥ. ARK5(4)8L[2]SA - ESDIRK explicit part $\frac{41}{100}$ $\frac{41}{200}$ -567603406766 $\frac{41}{400}$ $\frac{41}{200}$ implicit part 7196633302097 -110385047103 10081342136671 -22760509404356 $\frac{41}{200}$ $\frac{92}{100}$ -1179710474555 5321154724896 $\frac{24}{100}$ $\frac{218866479029}{1489978393911}$ -60928119172 $\frac{41}{200}$ 5715676835656 $\frac{25762820946817}{25263940353407}$ -2161375909145 9755907335909 -211217309593 5846859502534 -4269925059573 7827059040749 $\frac{3}{5}$ $\frac{41}{200}$ -872700587467 9555858737531 -1143369518992-39379526789629 -1143369518992 -39379526789629 -872700587467 $\frac{41}{200}$ b_i [Kennedy and Carpenter 2003] -975461918565 -33438840321285 32432590147079 -548382580838 \hat{b}_i

ARK5(4)8L[2]SA - ERK



Extrapolated IMEX methods can be used to efficiently integrate multiphysics problems

• Problem:
$$y'(t) = f(t, y(t)) + g(t, y(t)), t > 0, y(t_0) = y_0$$

 $y(t) - y_{\Delta t}(t) = e_{p+1}(t) \Delta t^{p+1} + \dots + e_N(t) \Delta t^N + E_{\Delta t}(t) \Delta t^{N+1}$
• Extrapolation methods (easy construction) [Gragg, 1964]:
 $T_{i,1} := y_{\Delta t_i}(t_0 + \Delta T), \implies T_{j,k+1} = T_{j,k} + \frac{T_{j,k} - T_{j-1,k}}{(n_j/n_{j-1}) - 1}$
• "Base" methods:
• "Base" methods:
Extrapolation:
 $[Explicit] y^{n+1} = y^n + \Delta t f(y^n) + \Delta t g(y^n),$
• Extrapolation:
 $[L-Implicit] y^{n+1} = y^n + [I - \Delta t (f + g)'(y^n)]^{-1} (\Delta t f(y^n) + \Delta t g(y^n)),$
 $[W-Method] y^{n+1} = y^n + \Delta t f(y^n) + [I - \Delta t g'(y^n)]^{-1} (\Delta t f(y^n) + \Delta t g(y^n)),$
• Extrapolated IMEX $[IMEX] y^{n+1} = y^n + [I - \Delta t g'(y^n)]^{-1} (\Delta t g(y^n)); y^* = y^n + \Delta t f(y^n)$



Implementation of the proposed extrapolated IMEX schemes

• Problem:
$$y'(t) = f(t, y(t)) + g(t, y(t)), t > 0, y(t_0) = y_0$$

 $T_{i,1} := y_{\Delta t_i}(t_0 + \Delta T),$
 $T_{i,1} := y_{\Delta t_i}(t_0 + \Delta T),$
 T_{11}
 T_{21}
 T_{22}
 T_{31}
 T_{32}
 T_{33}
 $T_{j,k+1} = T_{j,k} + \frac{T_{j,k} - T_{j-1,k}}{(n_j/n_{j-1}) - 1}$
 $\Delta t_i = \Delta T/n_j$
 $n_j = 1, 2, 3, 4, ...$
 $y^{n+1} = y^n + [I - \Delta t g'(y^n)]^{-1} (\Delta t f(y^n) + \Delta t g(y^n)),$
 $y^{n+1} = y^* + [I - \Delta t g'(y^n)]^{-1} (\Delta t g(y^*)); y^* = y^n + \Delta t f(y^n)$



Linear stability analysis for IMEX methods

- Classical linear stability: $y'(t) = \lambda y(t);$ $y^{n+1} = R(\lambda \Delta t) y^n;$ $|R(\lambda \Delta t)| \le 1$
- IMEX linear stability:

[nonstiff] [stiff]
$$y'(t) = \lambda y(t) + \mu y(t), t > 0, y(t_0) = y_0, |Re(\mu)| \gg |Re(\lambda)|$$

$$y^{n+1} = R(\lambda \Delta t, \mu \Delta t)y^n \quad S = \{z \in \mathbb{C}, w \in \mathbb{C} : |R(z, w)| \le 1\}$$





Linear stability analysis for the proposed extrapolated IMEX methods

 $y'(t) = \lambda y(t) + \mu y(t), t > 0, y(t_0) = y_0, |Re(\mu)| \gg |Re(\lambda)|$





Consistency analysis of extrapolated IMEX methods for stiff problems

• Perform a change of variables: u' = f(x, u) + g(x, u) with $u = y + \varepsilon z$

$$\begin{cases} y' = \widehat{f(y,z)} = f(y+\varepsilon z) \\ \varepsilon z' = \widehat{g(y,z)} = g(y+\varepsilon z) \end{cases} \quad \text{with} \begin{cases} y^0 + \varepsilon z^0 = u^0 \\ y + \varepsilon z = u \\ (y+\varepsilon z)' = u' \end{cases}$$

• IMEX (SPP) ODE:
$$\begin{pmatrix} y \\ \varepsilon z \end{pmatrix}' = \begin{pmatrix} f(y,z) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ g(y,z) \end{pmatrix}$$

• DAE (index-1):
$$\begin{pmatrix} y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}' = \begin{pmatrix} f(y,z) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ g(y,z) \end{pmatrix}$$

 g_z is invertible

• The consistency analysis is done through the expansion of the global error



Asymptotic expansion of the global error for the extrapolated IMEX methods applied to DAEs

The W-method, Pure-IMEX, and Split-IMEX schemes have global error expansions:

$$y_{i} - y(t_{i}) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \Delta t^{j} \left(a_{j}(t_{i}) + \alpha_{i}^{(j)} \right) + O\left(\Delta t^{M+1}\right)$$

$$z_{i} - z(t_{i}) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \Delta t^{j} \left(b_{j}(t_{i}) + \beta_{i}^{j} \right) + O\left(\Delta t^{M+1}\right)$$
numerical approx.
exact solution
after *i* steps
exact solution
functions
perturbations

- The extrapolation method cancels the smooth coeff. a, b; but not the perturbations
- W-method: Pure-IMEX scheme: Split-IMEX scheme $\alpha_i^{(1)} = 0, \, \alpha_i^{(2)} = 0, \, \beta_i^{(1)} = 0, \, \forall i \ge 0,$ $\alpha_i^{(1)} = 0$, $\alpha_i^{(2)} = 0$, $\beta_i^{(1)} = 0$, $\forall i \ge 0$, $\alpha_i^{(2)} = 0$, $\beta_i^{(1)} = 0$, $\forall i \ge 1$, $\alpha_{i}^{(3)} = 0$, $\beta_{i}^{(2)} = 0$, $\forall i \ge 1$, $\alpha_i^{(3)} = 0$, $\alpha_i^{(4)} = 0$, $\beta_i^{(2)} = 0$, $\forall i \ge 1$, $\alpha_i^{(1)} = 0, \ \forall i \ge 0,$ $\alpha_i^{(j)} = 0, \ \forall i \ge j - 2, \ j \ge 4,$ $\alpha_i^{(3)} = 0, \ \beta_i^{(2)} = 0, \ \forall i \ge 2,$ $\alpha_{i}^{(j)} = 0, \ \forall i \ge j - 3, \ j \ge 5,$ $\beta_i^{(j)} = 0, \ \forall i \ge j - 1, \ j \ge 3.$ $\beta_i^{(j)} = 0, \ \forall i \ge j - 2, \ j \ge 3.$ $\alpha_i^{(j)} = 0$, $\forall i \ge j - 1$, $j \ge 4$, $\beta_{i}^{(j)} = 0$, $\forall i \ge j$, $j \ge 3$.



Asymptotic expansion of the global error for the extrapolated Split-IMEX scheme applied to DAEs

$$y_{i} - y(t_{i}) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \Delta t^{j} \left(a_{j}(t_{i}) + \alpha_{i}^{(j)} \right) + O\left(\Delta t^{M+1}\right) \qquad z_{i} - z(t_{i}) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \Delta t^{j} \left(b_{j}(t_{i}) + \beta_{i}^{j} \right) + O\left(\Delta t^{M+1}\right)$$

• Extrapolated Split-IMEX: $y^{n+1} = y^* + [I - \Delta t g'(y^n)]^{-1} (\Delta t g(y^*)); y^* = y^n + \Delta t f(y^n)$

$$\begin{array}{cc} I & 0 \\ -\Delta t g_y(0) & -\Delta t g_z(0) \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} y_{i+1} - y_i \\ z_{i+1} - z_i \end{array} \right) = \Delta t \left(\begin{array}{c} f(y_i, z_i) \\ g(y_i + \Delta t f(y_i, z_i), z_i) - \Delta t g_y(0) f(y_i, z_i) \end{array} \right)$$

Accuracy Split-IMEX	$Y_{jk} - y(t_0 + \Delta t) = O(\Delta T^{r_{jk}}) ,$											$Z_{jk} - z(t_0 + \Delta t) = O(\Delta T^{s_{jk}})$										
entry <i>jk</i> in the	1 2									2												
extrapolation tableau	2 3	2	3	3								2	2	K3								
$\alpha_i^{(1)} = 0$, $\alpha_i^{(2)} = 0$, $\beta_i^{(1)} = 0$, $\forall i \ge 0$,	4	2	3	3	4							2	2	< 3	3							
$\alpha^{(3)} = 0$ $\beta^{(2)} = 0$ $\forall i > 1$	5	2	3	3	4	4						2	2	3	4	3						
$a_i = 0, p_i = 0, n \ge 1,$	6	2	3	3	4	5	4					2	2	3	4	4	3					
$lpha_i^{(j)} = 0$, $\forall i \geq j-2$, $j \geq 4$,	7	2	3	3	4	5	5	4				2	2	3	4	5	4	3				
$\beta^{(j)} = 0 \forall i \geq i = 1 i \geq 3$	8	2	3	3	4	5	6	5	4			2	2	3	4	5	5	4	3			
$p_i = 0, v_i \ge j - 1, j \ge 0.$	9	2	3	3	4	5	6	6	5	4		2	2	3	4	5	6	5	4	3		
	10	2	3	3	4	5	6	7	6	5	4	2	2	3	4	5	6	6	5	4	3	
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	



(

Theoretical local extrapolation orders for linearly implicit, W-IMEX, Pure-IMEX, and Split-IMEX methods for index-1 DAEs

Orders (r_{jk}) for component y_{jk} for Linearly implicit W-IMEX Pure-IMEX Split-IMEX

1	2 2 2 2	$a^{(2)}(\cdot)$	$a^{(3)}(\cdot)$	$a^{(4)}(\cdot)$	$a^{(5)}(\cdot)$	$a^{(6)}(\cdot)$	$a^{(7)}(\cdot)$	$a^{(8)}(\cdot)$	$a^{(9)}(\cdot)$	$a^{(10)}(\cdot)$	$a^{(11)}(\cdot)$	$a^{(12)}(\cdot)$
2	2 2 2 2	3 3 2 3		ŕ				-				
3	2 2 2 2	3 3 2 3	4 3 3 3									
4	2 2 2 2	3 3 2 3	4 3 3 3	${f 5} {f 4} {f 3} {f 4} $								
5	2 2 2 2	3 3 2 3	4 3 3 3	$5 4 4 {f 4}$	${f 5} {f 5} 3 4$							
6	2 2 2 2	3 3 2 3	4 3 3 3	5 4 4 4	5 5 4 5	6 5 3 4						
7	2 2 2 2	3 3 2 3	4 3 3 3	5 4 4 4	$5 5 5 {f 5} $	6 6 4 5	6 5 3 4					
8	2 2 2 2	3 3 2 3	4 3 3 3	5 4 4 4	$5 5 {f 5} 5$	6 6 5 6	7 6 4 5	6 5 3 4				
9	2 2 2 2	3 3 2 3	4 3 3 3	5 4 4 4	$5 5 {f 5} 5 $	6 6 6 6	${f 7} {f 7} 5 6$	7 6 4 4	6 5 3 4			
10	2 2 2 2	3 3 2 3	4 3 3 3	5 4 4 4	5 5 5 5	6 6 6 6	7 7 6 7	8 7 5 6	7 6 4 5	6 5 3 4		
11	2 2 2 2	3 3 2 3	4 3 3 3	5 4 4 4	5 5 5 5	6 6 6 6	7 7 7 old 7	8 8 6 7	8 7 5 6	7 6 4 5	6 5 3 4	
12	2 2 2 2	3 3 2 3	4 3 3 3	5 4 4 4	5 5 5 5	6 6 6 6	$7 7 oldsymbol{7} 7$	8 8 7 8	9 8 6 7	8 7 5 6	7 6 4 5	6 5 3 4
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
		Orde	$rs(s_{ii})$ for	. component	t za for Li	nearlų imni	licit W-IMF	EX Pure-IN	[EX]Split-	IMEX		
		0140	(0jk) joi	component	$\sim j_{\kappa} j_{0} = 1$	near og vinepa	10000 11 1111	<u></u>	Bulshing	1011211		
		0140	(<i>bjk)</i> jor	component	, zjk j01 <u>L</u> t	recurry intep				11111211		
1	2 2 1 2	$b^{(2)}(\cdot)$	$b^{(3)}(\cdot)$	$b^{(4)}(\cdot)$	$b^{(5)}(\cdot)$	$b^{(6)}(\cdot)$	$b^{(7)}(\cdot)$	$b^{(8)}(\cdot)$	$b^{(9)}(\cdot)$	<u>b(10)</u> (·)	$\underline{b^{(11)}(\cdot)}$	$\underline{b}^{(12)}(\cdot)$
1 2	2 2 1 2 2 2 1 2	$b^{(2)}(\cdot) \\ 2 2 2 2 2$	$b^{(3)}(\cdot)$	<u>b(4)</u> (·)	$b^{(5)}(\cdot)$	$b^{(6)}(\cdot)$	<u>b(7)</u> (·)	$b^{(8)}(\cdot)$	$b^{(9)}(\cdot)$	<u>b⁽¹⁰⁾(·)</u>	$\underline{b^{(11)}(\cdot)}$	$\underline{b^{(12)}(\cdot)}$
1 2 3	$\begin{array}{c} 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2 \end{array}$	$b^{(2)}(\cdot) \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2$	b ⁽³⁾ (·) 3 3 2 3	$b^{(4)}(\cdot)$	$b^{(5)}(\cdot)$	$b^{(6)}(\cdot)$	<u>b(7)(-)</u>	<u>b⁽⁸⁾(·)</u>	b ⁽⁹⁾ (·)	<u>b(10)</u> (·)	<u>b⁽¹¹⁾(·)</u>	$\underline{b}^{(12)}(\cdot)$
1 2 3 4	$\begin{array}{c} 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2 \end{array}$	$b^{(2)}(\cdot) \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2$	$\frac{b^{(3)}(\cdot)}{3 3 2 3}_{3 3 3 3}$	$\frac{b^{(4)}(\cdot)}{4 4 2 3}$	$b^{(5)}(\cdot)$	$b^{(6)}(\cdot)$	<u>b(7)(.)</u>	<u>b⁽⁸⁾(·)</u>	$b^{(9)}(\cdot)$	<u>b(10)</u> (·)	b ⁽¹¹⁾ (·)	b ⁽¹²⁾ (·)
1 2 3 4 5	$\begin{array}{c} 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2 \end{array}$	$b^{(2)}(\cdot) \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2$	$\frac{b^{(3)}(\cdot)}{3 3 2 3}$ $\frac{3 3 3 3}{3 3 3 3}$	$\frac{b^{(4)}(\cdot)}{4 4 2 3}$ $\frac{4 4 3 4}{4}$	$\frac{b^{(5)}(\cdot)}{4 4 2 3}$	$b^{(6)}(\cdot)$	<u>b(7)(.)</u>	<u>b⁽⁸⁾(·)</u>	$\underline{b^{(9)}(\cdot)}$	<u>b⁽¹⁰⁾(·)</u>	<u>b(11)(-)</u>	<u>b⁽¹²⁾(·)</u>
1 2 3 4 5 6	$\begin{array}{c} 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2 \end{array}$	$b^{(2)}(\cdot) \\ 2 2 2 2 $	b ⁽³⁾ (·) 3 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	$\frac{b^{(4)}(\cdot)}{4 4 2 3}$ $\frac{4 4 3 4}{4 4 4 4}$	$\frac{b^{(5)}(\cdot)}{4 4 2 3}$ $\frac{5 5 3 4}{5 3 4}$	$b^{(6)}(\cdot)$ 4 4 2 3	<u>b(7)(-)</u>	<u>b⁽⁸⁾(·)</u>	$\underline{b^{(9)}}(\cdot)$	<u>Ь⁽¹⁰⁾(·)</u>	<u>b(11)</u> (·)	<u>b⁽¹²⁾(·)</u>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	$\begin{array}{c} 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} b^{(2)}(\cdot) \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} b^{(3)}(\cdot)\\ 3 3 2 3\\ 3 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3 3\end{array}$	$\frac{b^{(4)}(\cdot)}{4 4 2 3}$ $\frac{4 4 3 4}{4 4 4 4}$ $\frac{4 4 4 4}{4 4 4 4}$	$\begin{array}{c} b^{(5)}(\cdot) \\ b^{(5)}(\cdot) \\ 4 4 2 3 \\ 5 5 3 4 \\ 5 5 4 5 \end{array}$	$\frac{b^{(6)}(\cdot)}{4 4 2 3}$ 5 5 3 4	$b^{(7)}(\cdot)$ 4 4 2 3	b ⁽⁸⁾ (·)	$\underline{b^{(9)}(\cdot)}$	<u>b⁽¹⁰⁾(·)</u>	<u>b(11)</u> (·)	<u>b⁽¹²⁾(-)</u>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	$\begin{array}{c} 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} b^{(2)}(\cdot) \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} b^{(3)}(\cdot)\\ 3 3 2 3\\ 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 $	$\frac{b^{(4)}(\cdot)}{4 4 2 3}$ $\frac{4 4 3 4}{4 4 4 4}$ $\frac{4 4 4 4}{4 4 4 4}$	$\begin{array}{c} b^{(5)}(\cdot) \\ b^{(5)}(\cdot) \\ 4 4 2 3 \\ 5 5 3 4 \\ 5 5 3 4 \\ 5 5 5 5 5 \\ 5 5 5 5 \\ \end{array}$	$\frac{b^{(6)}(\cdot)}{4 4 2 3}$ $\frac{5 5 3 4}{6 6 4 5}$	$\frac{b^{(7)}(\cdot)}{4 4 2 3}$ 5 5 3 4	$b^{(8)}(\cdot)$ 4 4 2 3	$\underline{b^{(9)}(\cdot)}$	<u>b⁽¹⁰⁾(·)</u>	<u>b(11)</u> (·)	<u>b⁽¹²⁾(·)</u>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	$\begin{array}{c} 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} b^{(2)}(\cdot) \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} b^{(3)}(\cdot)\\ 3 3 2 3\\ 3 3 3 \\ 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3\\ 3 3 3\\ 3 3\\ 3 3 3\\ 3 3$	$b^{(4)}(\cdot)$ $4 4 2 3$ $4 4 3 4$ $4 4 4 4$ $4 4 4 4$ $4 4 4 4$ $4 4 4 4$	$\begin{array}{c} b^{(5)}(\cdot) \\ b^{(5)}(\cdot) \\ 4 4 2 3 \\ 5 5 3 4 \\ 5 5 4 5 \\ 5 5 5 5 \\ 5 5 5 5 \\ 5 5 5 5 \\ \end{array}$	$\frac{b^{(6)}(\cdot)}{4 4 2 3}$ $5 5 3 4$ $6 6 4 5$ $6 6 5 6$	$\frac{b^{(7)}(\cdot)}{4 4 2 3}$ $\frac{5 5 3 4}{6 6 4 5}$	$\frac{b^{(8)}(\cdot)}{4 4 2 3}$ 5 5 3 4	$b^{(9)}(\cdot)$ 4 4 2 3	<u>Ь⁽¹⁰⁾(·)</u>	<u>b(11)</u> (·)	<u>b⁽¹²⁾(·)</u>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	$\begin{array}{c} 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 1 2\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} b^{(2)}(\cdot) \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} b^{(3)}(\cdot)\\ 3 3 2 3\\ 3 3 3 3 $	$\begin{array}{c} \underline{b^{(4)}(\cdot)} \\ 4 4 2 3 \\ 4 4 3 4 \\ 4 4 4 4 \\ 4 4 4 4 \\ 4 4 4 4 \\ 4 4 4 4 \\ 4 4 $	$\begin{array}{c} b^{(5)}(\cdot) \\ b^{(5)}(\cdot) \\ \hline \\ b^{(5)}(\cdot) \\ \hline \\ 5 5 3 4 \\ 5 5 4 5 \\ 5 5 5 5 \\ 5 5 5 5 \\ 5 5 5 5 \\ 5 5 5 5 \\ \end{array}$	$\frac{b^{(6)}(\cdot)}{5 5 3 4}$ $5 5 3 4$ $6 6 4 5$ $6 6 5 6$ $6 6 6 6$	$\begin{array}{c} b^{(7)}(\cdot) \\ \hline \\ b^{(7)}(\cdot) \\ \hline \\ 5 5 3 4 \\ 6 6 4 5 \\ 7 7 5 6 \end{array}$	$\frac{b^{(8)}(\cdot)}{5 5 3 4}$	$b^{(9)}(\cdot)$ $4 4 2 3$ $5 5 3 4$	<u>b⁽¹⁰⁾(.)</u> 4 4 2 3	<u>b(11)</u> (·)	b ⁽¹²⁾ (·)
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	$\begin{array}{c} 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 2 2\\ 2 2 2 2\\ 2 2 2 2\\ 2 2 2 2\\ 2 2$	$\begin{array}{c} b^{(2)}(\cdot) \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} b^{(3)}(\cdot)\\ 3 3 2 3\\ 3 3 3 3 $	$b^{(4)}(\cdot)$ $4 4 2 3$ $4 4 3 4$ $4 4 4 4 4$ $4 4 4 4 4$ $4 4 4 4 4$ $4 4 4 4 4$ $4 4 4 4 4$	$\begin{array}{c} b^{(5)}(\cdot) \\ \hline b^{(5)}(\cdot) \\ \hline$	$\frac{b^{(6)}(\cdot)}{b^{(6)}(\cdot)}$ $\frac{4 4 2 3}{5 5 3 4}$ $\frac{5 5 3 4}{6 6 4 5}$ $\frac{6 6 5 6}{6 6 6 6}$ $\frac{6 6 6 6}{6 6 6 6}$	$\frac{b^{(7)}(\cdot)}{b^{(7)}(\cdot)}$ $\frac{4 4 2 3}{5 5 3 4}$ $\frac{5 5 3 4}{6 6 4 5}$ $\frac{6 6 4 5}{7 7 5 6}$ $\frac{7 7 6 7}{7}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4 4 2 3\\5 5 3 4\\6 6 4 5\\{\bf 7} {\bf 7} 5 6\end{array}$	$b^{(9)}(\cdot)$ $4 4 2 3$ $5 5 3 4$ $6 6 4 5$	$\frac{b^{(10)}(\cdot)}{4 4 2 3}$ $5 5 3 4$	<u>b⁽¹¹⁾(·)</u> 4 4 2 3	<u>b⁽¹²⁾(·)</u>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	$\begin{array}{c} 2 2 1 2\\ 2 2 2 2\\ 2 2\\ 2 2 2\\ 2 2 2\\ 2 2 2\\ 2 2 2\\ 2 2 2\\ 2 2 2\\ 2 2 2\\ 2 2 2\\ 2 2 2\\ 2 2 2\\ 2 2 2 2\\ 2 2 2 2\\ 2 2 2 2\\ 2 2 2 2\\ 2 2 2 2\\ 2 2 2 2\\ 2 2 2 2\\ 2 2 2 2\\ 2 2$	$\begin{array}{c} b^{(2)}(\cdot) \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} b^{(3)}(\cdot)\\ 3 3 2 3\\ 3 3 3 3 $	$b^{(4)}(\cdot)$ $4 4 2 3$ $4 4 3 4$ $4 4 4 4$ $4 4 4 4$ $4 4 4 4$ $4 4 4 4$ $4 4 4 4$ $4 4 4 4$ $4 4 4 4$	$\begin{array}{c} b^{(5)}(\cdot) \\ \hline b^{(5)}(\cdot) \\ \hline$	$\frac{b^{(6)}(\cdot)}{b^{(6)}(\cdot)}$ $\frac{4 4 2 3}{5 5 3 4}$ $\frac{5 5 3 4}{6 6 4 5}$ $\frac{6 6 5 6}{6 6 5 6}$ $\frac{6 6 6 6}{6 6 6 6}$	$\frac{b^{(7)}(\cdot)}{b^{(7)}(\cdot)}$ $\frac{4 4 2 3}{5 5 3 4}$ $6 6 4 5$ $7 7 5 6$ $7 7 6 7$ $7 7 7 7 7$	$\begin{array}{c} 4 4 2 3\\5 5 3 4\\6 6 4 5\\{\bf 7} {\bf 7} 5 6\\{\bf 8} {\bf 8} 6 {\bf 7}\end{array}$	$b^{(9)}(\cdot)$ $4 4 2 3$ $5 5 3 4$ $6 6 4 5$ $7 7 5 6$	$b^{(10)}(\cdot)$ $4 4 2 3$ $5 5 3 4$ $6 6 4 5$	$b^{(11)}(\cdot)$ 4 4 2 3 5 5 3 4	$b^{(12)}(\cdot)$



Example of nonlinear DAE index-1 solved with extrapolated Split-IMEX extrapolation method

DAE example:

$$y' = \frac{y^{-}}{z\sqrt{\frac{y^{2}}{z^{2}} - 1}} = f(y, z)$$

$$0 = z^{2} - \frac{1}{1 + y^{2}} - y^{2}\left(\frac{1}{z^{2}} - 1\right) = g(y, z)$$

. .2

exact solution:

 $y(t) = \sinh(t), z(t) = \tanh(t)$

-	1	2											2							
s i C S	2	2	3										2	2						
ret lers	3	2	3	3									2	2	3					
eo ord	4	2	3	3	4								2	2	3	3				
Ч Ц	5	2	3	3	4	4							2	2	3	4	3			
	6	2	3	3	4	5 4	-						2	2	3	4	4	3		
	1	2.0										1	2.0							
a	2	1.9	Э	3.0		1	y					2	2.0	2	2.0			Z		
ric rs	3	1.9	Э	3.0	3.0							3	2.2	2	2.0	3.0				
de	4	2.0	Э	3.0	2.9	4.0						4	1.8	2	2.0	2.9	3	3.0		
or	5	2.0	З	3.0	3.0	4.0		4.0				5	1.9	2	2.0	2.9	4	4.0	3.0	
Z	6	2.0	3	3.0	3.0	3.9	i.	49	4	0		6	1.9	2	2.0	2.9	3	3.9	4.0	3.1



Asymptotic expansion of the global error for the extrapolated IMEX methods applied to ODEs

• ODE solved with extrapolated W-method: $\begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ -\Delta t g_y(0) & \varepsilon I - \Delta t g_z(0) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_{i+1} - y_i \\ z_{i+1} - z_i \end{pmatrix} = \Delta t \begin{pmatrix} f(y_i, z_i) \\ g(y_i, z_i) \end{pmatrix}$

 $\varepsilon \leq \Delta t$

• Perturbed expansion: $y_{i} = y(x_{i}) + \Delta t a^{(1)}(x_{i}) + \Delta t^{2} a^{(2)}(x_{i}) + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^{3}) - \varepsilon f_{z}(0) g_{z}^{-1}(0) \left(I - \frac{\Delta t}{\varepsilon} g_{z}(0)\right)^{-i+1} \left(\Delta t b^{(1)}(0) + \Delta t^{2} b^{(2)}(0)\right),$ $z_{i} = z(x_{i}) + \Delta t b^{(1)}(x_{i}) + \Delta t^{2} b^{(2)}(x_{i}) + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^{3}) - \varepsilon f_{z}(0) \int_{0}^{-i+1} e^{-i+1} e^{-i+1} e^{-i+1}$

$$-\left(I - \frac{\Delta t}{\varepsilon}g_z(0)\right)^{-i+1} \left(\Delta t b^{(1)}(0) + \Delta t^2 b^{(2)}(0)\right) ,$$

 $a^{(1)}(0) = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon \Delta t), \ a^{(2)}(0) = \mathcal{O}(\Delta t), \ b^{(1)}(0) = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon), \ b^{(2)}(0) = \mathcal{O}(1)$

• Same orders as obtained for DAEs, but with an extra $\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$ term



Asymptotic expansion of the global error for the extrapolated IMEX methods applied to ODEs





Efficient implementation considerations



- very high accuracy
- variable orders
- lower order embedded approximations for error control
- Each approximation in the first column is independent:
 - parallelize easy





Very high accuracy experiments with an advection-reaction PDE problem

Advection-reaction PDE example:

$$y_{t} + \alpha_{1} y_{x} = -k_{1}y + k_{2}z + s_{1} \\ z_{t} + \alpha_{2} z_{x} = k_{1}y - k_{2}z + s_{2} \end{cases}, \quad 0 < x < 1 \\ 0 < t \le t_{\max} \end{cases}, \quad \alpha_{1} = 1, \ k_{1} = 10^{6}, \ s_{1} = 0 \\ \alpha_{2} = 0, \ k_{2} = 2k_{1}, \ s_{2} = 1 \end{cases},$$
$$y(x, 0) = 1 + s_{2}x, \ z(x, 0) = \frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}}y(x, 0) + \frac{1}{k_{2}}s_{2}, \ y(0, t) = 1 - \sin(12t)^{4} \\ x_{i} = i\Delta x, \ i = 1 \dots m \text{ with } \Delta x = 1/m, \ m = 400$$

Global orders (W-|Pure-|Split-IMEX):





Very high accuracy experiments show the method robustness

Advection-reaction PDE example:

 $y_t + \alpha_1 y_x = -k_1 y + k_2 z + s_1 \\ z_t + \alpha_2 z_x = k_1 y - k_2 z + s_2 , \quad 0 < x < 1 \\ 0 < t \le t_{\max} , \quad \alpha_1 = 1, \, k_1 = 10^6, \, s_1 = 0 \\ 0 < t \le t_{\max} , \quad \alpha_2 = 0, \, k_2 = 2k_1, \, s_2 = 1 ,$

 Advection-reaction convergence: Comparison among IMEX-BDF, ARK, and extrapolated IMEX up to order 18 on (one and eight cores)





Conclusions

- Extrapolated W-, Split-, Pure-IMEX can be efficient integrators for multiphysics problems
- Computationally less expensive than fully implicit methods
- Easy to construct and implement w/ favorable accuracy properties
- Embedded lower order approximations/variable order are automatic
- Applicable to ODEs, DAEs index-1, PDEs via MOL
- Easy to parallelize and suitable for multicore architectures

*Acknowledgements: This work was supported by Office of Advanced Scientific Computing Research, Office of Science, U.S. Department of Energy, under Contract DE-AC02-06CH11357, and by the National Science Foundation through award NSF CCF-0515170

