

- Data vector (x_1, \cdots, x_n) , *n* large
- partition n to m equal vectors of length l
- Is median of medians a good approximation of the median?
- Is the median of medians a good approximation if we let *m* and/or *l* be large? (Should not depend on *n*)
- Good approximation in what sense?
- Answer: The approximation should be within a reasonable range of quantiles of the data $(1/2 \epsilon, 1/2 + \epsilon)$.

partition number	Partition	Median of the partition
1	$1, 2, \cdots, b, b+1, 10^b, \cdots, 10^b$	b+1
2	$1, 2, \cdots, b, b+1, 10^b, \cdots, 10^b$	b+1
а	$1, 2, \cdots, b, b+1, 10^b, \cdots, 10^b$	b+1
a+1	$1, 2, \cdots, b, b+1, 10^b, \cdots, 10^b$	10^b
a+2	$10^{b}, 10^{b}, \cdots, 10^{b}$	10^b
2a+1	$10^{b}, 10^{b}, \cdots, 10^{b}$	10^b

The medi

Table 1: The table of data

- Median of medians is not that bad!
- It is going to be within the range (0.25,0.75)
- m = 2a and l = 2b
- Let *MM* be the median of the medians
- Order the obtained medians of each partition and show them by M_1, \dots, M_m . By definition $MM \ge M_j, \ j \le a$.
- Each M_j is greater than b data points.
- Hence, *MM* is greater than ab number of data points
- ab/4ab = 0.25

- How to improve?
- For each partition take the 1st quartile, median and 3rd quartile
- The approximation is improved to (3/8,5/8)=(0.375,0.625)
- In general take 1/q, 2/q, ··· , q 1/q quantiles then approximation is improved to (1/2(q/q+1), 1/2(q+2/q+1))
- To get an approximation as good as (0.4,0.6) only need to let q=4
- Note that this does not depend on m,I (m,I>2)
- We can pick m, l based on our computing abilities