An Introduction to Statistical Extreme Value Theory

Uli Schneider

Geophysical Statistics Project, NCAR

January 26, 2004 NCAR



- Part I Two basic approaches to extreme value theory – block maxima, threshold models.
- Part II Uncertainty, dependence, seasonality, trends.



In classical statistics: model the AVERAGE behavior of a process.





In extreme value theory: model the EXTREME behavior (the tail of a distribution).





In extreme value theory: model the EXTREME behavior (the tail of a distribution).



Usually deal with very small data sets!

Different Approaches

- Block Maxima (GEV)
- Rth order statistic
- Threshold approach (GPD)
- Point processes

Block Maxima Approach

- Model extreme daily rainfall in Boulder
- Take "block maximum" maximum daily precipitation for each year: $M_n = \max\{X_1, \ldots, X_{365}\}$
- 54 annual records (data points for M_n):



Annual maximum of daily rainfall for Boulder (1948–2001)

years

Block Maxima Approach

• The distribution of $M_n = \max\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ converges to (as $n \to \infty$)

$$G(x) = \exp\{-[1+\xi(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma})]^{-\frac{1}{\xi}}\}.$$

G(x) is called the "Generalized Extreme Value" (GEV) distribution and has 3 parameters:

- shape parameter ξ
- location parameter μ
- scale parameter σ .

Fitting a GEV – Estimating Parameters

- Use the 54 annual records to fit the GEV distribution.
- Estimate the 3 parameters ξ , μ and σ with "maximum likelihood" (MLE) using statistical software (R).
- Get a GEV distribution with $\xi = 0.09$, $\mu = 50.16$, and $\sigma = 133.85$.



Fitting a GEV – Return Levels

• Often of interest: return level z_m

$$P(M > z_m) = \frac{1}{m}.$$

Expect every m^{th} observation to exceed the level z_m . Or: at any point, there is a 1/m% probability to exceed the level z_m .

- Can be computed easily once the parameters are "known".
- E.g. m = 100, then $z_{100} = 420$, i.e. expect the annual daily maximum to exceed 4.2 inches every 100 years in Boulder.

Fitting a GEV – Return Levels

• Often of interest: return level z_m

$$P(M > z_m) = \frac{1}{m}$$

Expect every m^{th} observation to exceed the level z_m .

Return Levels for Boulder



m (years)

Fitting a GEV – Assumptions

- We did not need to know what the underlying distribution of each X_i , i.e. the daily total rainfall was.
- Underlying assumption: observations are "iid"
 - independently and
 - identically distributed.

- Model exceedances over a high threshold u X u | X > u.
- Daily total rainfall for Boulder exceeding 80 (1/100 in).
- Allows to make more efficient use of the data.

Daily total rainfall for Boulder (1948–2001)



- Model exceedances over a high threshold u X u | X > u.
- Daily total rainfall for Boulder exceeding 80 (1/100 in).
- Allows to make more efficient use of the data.

Annual maximum of daily rainfall for Boulder (1948–2001)



- Model exceedances over a high threshold u X u | X > u.
- Daily total rainfall for Boulder exceeding 80 (1/100 in).
- Allows to make more efficient use of the data.

Daily total rainfall for Boulder (1948–2001)



 The distribution of Y := X - u | X > u converges to (as $u \to \infty$)

$$H(y) = 1 - (1 + \xi \frac{y}{\tilde{\sigma}})^{-\frac{1}{\xi}}.$$

H(y) is called the "Generalized Pareto" distribution (GPD) with 2 parameters.

- shape parameter ξ
- scale parameter $\tilde{\sigma}$.

The shape parameter ξ is the "same" parameter as in the GEV distribution.

Fitting a GPD – Estimating Parameters

- Use the 184 exceedances over the threshold u = 80 to fit the GEV distribution.
- Estimate the 2 parameters ξ and σ (using "maximum likelihood" using statistical software (R).
- Get a GPD distribution with $\xi = 0.22$ and $\sigma = 51.46$.



Diagnostics: mean excess function – linear?



Diagnostics: mean excess function – linear?



Diagnostics: shape and modified scale – constant?



Threshold



Alternatively:

Choose the threshold *u* so that a certain percentage of the data lies above it (robust and automatic, but is the approximation valid?).

Fitting a GPD – Return Levels

Compute 100-year return level for daily rainfall totals using the threshold approach: z₃₆₅₀₀ = 429, i.e. expect the daily total to exceed 4.29 inches every 100 years (36500 days).



Uncertainty (GEV)

- Essentially, the maximum likelihood approach yields standard errors for the estimates and therefore confidence bounds on the parameters.
- From the GEV (block maxima) fit for the yearly maximum of daily precipitation for Boulder:
 - $\xi = 0.09, 95\%$ conf. interval is (-0.1,0.28).
 - $\sigma = 50.16, 95\%$ conf. interval is (38.77, 61.54).
 - $\mu = 133.85, 95\%$ conf. interval is (118.58,149.12).

Uncertainty (GEV)

- Essentially, the maximum likelihood approach yields standard errors for the estimates.
- These errors can be propagated to the return levels:



Uncertainty (GPD)

- More data means less uncertainty.
- From the GPD (threshold model) fit for daily precipitation in Boulder:
- $\xi = 0.22, 95\%$ conf. interval is (-0.12,0.16).
- $\sigma = 51.46, 95\%$ conf. interval is (40.70, 62.21).

Uncertainty (GPD)

- More data means less uncertainty.
- From the GPD (threshold model) fit for daily precipitation in Boulder:



Return period (years)

Dependence – Declustering

- For the GEV and GPD approximations to be valid, we assume independence of the data.
- If the data is dependent, can use declustering to "make" them independent.
- E.g. pick only one (the max) point in a cluster that exceeds a threshold.

Dependence – Declustering

- Assume we want to make inference about hourly precipitation in Boulder.
- To decluster (instead of using 24 values for each day), we select only the maximum daily (1-h) record to fit the GPD model.



Choosing a threshold – mean excess function as a diagnostic:



Choosing a threshold – mean excess function as a diagnostic:





Threshold



Tutorial in Extreme Value Theory

Threshold

- u = 75 seems to be a good threshold using the diagnostics.
- But u = 75 only leaves 28 data points above the threshold.
- Use u = 35 instead (with 108 data points above the threshold) to get the following estimates:
 - $\xi = -0.05$, 95% conf. interval is (-0.27,0.15).
 - $\sigma = 27.98, 95\%$ conf. interval is (19.94, 36.02).
 - 100-year return level is $z_m = 185$, i.e. expect the hourly rainfall to exceed 1.85 inches every 100 years (10-year level is 1.36 inches.)

Use u = 35 (with 108 data points above the threshold) to fit a GPD model.



Return Levels for Boulder





fraction of the year

Seasonality

- To incorporate seasonality, link the scale parameter to covariates to describe the seasonal cycle.
- Use the covariates $X_1(t) = \sin(2\pi f(t))$ and $X_2(t) = \cos(2\pi f(t))$, where f(t) = fraction of the year for each day t.



fraction of the year

Seasonality

- To incorporate seasonality, link the scale parameter to covariates to describe the seasonal cycle.
- Use the covariates $X_1(t) = \sin(2\pi f(t))$ and $X_2(t) = \cos(2\pi f(t))$, where f(t) = fraction of the year for each day t.
- Use an exponential link function to link the covariates to the scale parameter:

 $\tilde{\sigma}(t) = \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1(t) + \beta_2 X_2(t)).$

Fit a GPD with density

 $GPD\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \tilde{\sigma}(t) = \exp(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0 + \boldsymbol{\beta}_1 X_1(t) + \boldsymbol{\beta}_2 X_2(t))\right).$